Coastal Zone Information Center

**March 1977** 

# State of Rhode Island Department of Economic Development

# Quonset Point Technical Park

**Facilities Study** 

KEYES ASSOCIATES architects / engineers / planners

ade Saland Auportment of Economic



FACILITIES STUDY FOR QUONSET POINT TECHNICAL PARK

#### FINAL REPORT

"This study was financed in part by a technical assistance grant awarded the State of Rhode Island by the Economic Development Administration."

#### PREPARED FOR THE

RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

BY

KEYES ASSOCIATES-ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS/PLANNERS

US Department of Commerce NOAA Coastal Services Center Library 2234 South Hobson Avenue Charleston, SC 29405-2413

#### MARCH 1977

The preparation of this plan was financed in part by a planning grant from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, under the provisions of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-583), through the Intergrated Grant Administration Program. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the sponsoring agencies. This publication is based upon publicly—supported research and may not be copyrighted. It may be reprinted, in part or in full, with the customary crediting of the source.



Keyes Associates -- Architects / Engineers / Planners

March 28, 1977
"Our Twenty-Sixth Year"

321 South Main Street Providence, R.t. 02903 Telephone 401 861-2900

Other Offices: Waltham, Wethersfield, Nashua

Partners
Fenton G. Keyes, PE
Henry E. Bilodeau, PE
Walter I. Keyes, PE
Ernest E. Kirwan, AIA

Leonard N. Buckler, PE

Senior Associates Lewis J. Bain, PE James L. Bell, PE Pasquale Codola, PE Howard E. Crooks, PE David I. Grist, AIA

Associates
Richard H. Casale, AIA
Peter Hule, PE
Len Kuhn, ASID, IBD
Robert J. McCormick, PE
James R. Miller, PE
Richard J. Philips, PE
Domenic Procaccini, PE
Glenn C. Reeves, AIA
Consultant
Raymond C Murphy, PE

Rhode Island Department of Economic Development One Weybosset Hill Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Attention: Mr. James O. Roberson, Executive Director

R.I. Port Authority and Economic Development

Corporation

#### Gentlemen:

In accordance with our contract with the State of Rhode Island and as modified in the Rhode Island Department of Economic Development Project Analysis Worksheet, we are pleased to submit the following final report of the "Quonset Point Technical Park Facilities Plan."

This report provides a comprehensive Land Use Study; investigation and inventory of all existing structures; compilation and study of all available soils information; a study and preliminary layout of proposed highway and rail transportation systems; a study and preliminary development schemes of the Port Facilities; a study of the existing airport; and construction cost estimate for the three final Land Use Scenarios as agreed upon by your staff.

We are most appreciative of the opportunity to perform this service and wish to acknowledge the courtesy, cooperation, and assistance rendered to members of our firm by yourself, your staff, members of the Task Force and The Rhode Island Port Authority and Economic Development Corporation Planning Committee in the preparation of this report.

Very truly yours,

KEYES ASSOCIATES

KEYES ASSOCIATES

Leonard N. Buckler Partner

Dale S. Plante Project Manager

LNB/DSP:gd

#### INTRODUCTION

The three Development Scenarios, included in this Report and their various land use options represent the culmination of the Facilities Study element of the Master Development Plan for Quonset Point Technical Park. Two additional elements of study for the Master Development Plan include; 1) an environmental assessment being prepared by the Coastal Resources Center at the University of Rhode Island, and 2) a socio-economic assessment being prepared by Gladstone Associates.

It is important to note that these scenarios represent the final product of two years of marketability studies, and ten months of Master Development Planning. Our Schedule included eight meetings of the Master Development Plan, Task Force, five public meetings which were held at intervals of four to six weeks during the ten month study period, and numerous working sessions between Department of Economic Development personnel and the staffs of the three elements of study. In addition, on-site inspections of petroleum related facilities, modern technical/industrial parks and airport related industrial parks were conducted. Additional information was collected when, in September 1976, the Coastal Resources Management Council and the Department of Economic Development sponsored a three-day Conference on Rhode Island and Offshore Oil. All of these examples of research and more have contributed to a rationale for development of Quonset Point/Davisville which essentially holds economic development and the creation of employment opportunities as the primary goals for development.

Nonetheless, the comprehensiveness of this effort serves to recognize all concerns. For instance, prior to land development planning, all of the environmentally-sensitive areas were identified, and to the extent possible, new development was planned around these sites. In addition, the golf course was held intact due to tremendous local interest in that facility.

What is presented here are three basic Development Scenarios which we feel incorporate the most practical, sensible and functional uses for properties at the Quonset Point/Davisville Site.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	ter of Transmittal roduction	
ı.	Development Scenarios	
	Scope and Definitions Property Description Development Scenario 1 Development Scenario 2 Development Scenario 3	I-1 I-2 thru I-6 I-7 thru I-13 I-14 thru I-17 I-18 thru I-20
II	Building Inventory	
	Categories Inventoried Summary of Building Cost Analysis Quonset Point Building Inventory Davisville Building Inventory Quonset Point Building Areas, etc. Davisville Building Areas, etc.	II-1 II-2 II-3 thru II-10 II-11 thru II-21 II-22 thru II-28 II-29 thru II-35
III	Transportation	
	Roadways Airport Facility Railroad Facilities Ocean Port Development	III-1 thru III-3 III-4 thru III-5 III-6 III-7 thru III-8
IV	Site Utilities	
	Water Distribution System Sanitary Sewerage System Steam and Gas Distribution Storm Drainage System Electrical Distribution System Communication System Fire Alarm System	IV-1 thru IV-4 IV-5 IV-6 IV-7 IV-7 IV-7
v	Additional Studies	
	Surfical Geology of the Site Borings at Air National Guard Borings at Kiefer Park	V-1 V-2 thru V-4 V-5 thru V-11

#### VI Cost Estimates

	Summary Development Scenario I Development Scenario II Development Scenario III Primary Access Corridor Railroad Spurs Ocean Port Development Sanitary Storm Drainage	VI-1 VI-2 VI-3 VI-4 VI-5 VI-6 VI-7 VI-8 VI-9
'II	Appendix	Sheet
	Exisiting Land Use Plan Building Inventory Plan Primary Access Corridor Airport Plan Railroad Plan Ocean Port Plan Water Distribution System Sanitary Sewerage Storm Drainage System Electrical Distribution System Communications System Fire Alarm System	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11



#### QUONSET POINT TECHNICAL PARK FACILITIES STUDY

#### A. Scope and Definitions

As described in the Introduction, the proposed Facilities Plans for Quonset/Davisville are documented in Three Development Scenarios - based on the extent of off-shore oil and gas findings, hereinafter described as "High Find", "Medium Find" and "No Find."

Each Development Scenario includes several major land use invariants: The Airport, Air National Guard, General Dynamics, common service areas, the golf course and various environmentally-sensitive areas. These common land areas comprise 1636 acres of the total 3200 acre parcel, leaving 1564 acres potentially available for new development. For a detailed description of the above areas, refer to Property Description, pages I-2 thru I-6.

#### Scenario 1. "High Find" - Mixed Land Use.

This scenario is based on a "high find" of commercially recoverable oil and gas as defined in the NERBC-RALI Report: 2.4 billion barrels of oil, 2.4 trillion cubic feet of associated gas and 10.1 trillion cubic feet of unassociated gas.

Refer to a detailed description of Scenario 1 on pages I-7 thru I-13

#### Scenario 2. "Medium Find" - Mixed Land Use.

This scenario is based on a "medium find" of gas and oil defined as: 900 million barrels of oil, 4.2 trillion cubic feet of natural gas and 3.3 trillion feet of unassociated gas, assumed to be commercially recoverable. Refer to detailed description on pages I-14 thru I-17.

#### Scenario 3. "No Find" - Mixed Land Use.

This scenario reflects the land uses which would be projected if either of the following should occur:

- a) A "low find" or "no find" of oil and gas in the off-shore explorations.
- b) An unfavorable Environmental Impact Statement with respect to the transfer of title to surplus property is filed.

In this case, the land would be utilized completely for Industrial and Commercial development as defined in the Harbridge House Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) List and for Recreational uses. Refer to detailed description on pages I-18 thru I-20.

#### B. Property Description

- 1. General Location The Facilities Study Area at Quonset/Davisville is comprised of 3200 acres of land owned entirely by the United States Navy, and is bounded on the east and south by Narragansett Bay and on the west and north by residential and wooded areas.
- 2. Infrastructure. The Development Scenarios, as presented in this Report were based on a careful study of all existing facilities at the Quonset/Davisville site, including: existing building condition, roadways, airport, rail and port capabilities, water, sanitary, drainage systems, electrical, fire alarm and communications system distribution and geological conditions at the site. Refer to the Table of Contents for references to appropriate text and maps.
- 3. Land Use Categories. In our analysis for the potential reuse of the Quonset/Davisville properties, we have divided the total land area into five basic land use catetories.
  - a) Presently Occupied Parcels
  - b) Common Service Areas
  - c) Environmentally Sensitive and Recreation Areas
  - d) Navy Retained Land
  - e) New Development Areas

For a detailed breakdown of land areas, refer to Table A, Page I-3.

#### 4. Areas Unavailable for <u>Development</u>

#### a) Presently Occupied Parcels

#### 1) The Quonset State Airport Complex

This parcel, consisting of 650 acres, will become a public general aviation airport, owned and operated by the State under FAA licensure. Some of the airport facilities will serve the State Air National Guard.

In the event of a national emergency, the airport could revert to military control.

Based on this probability and the value of an airport for shipment of industrial products, it was determined that the airport should remain.

	TABLE A					
ىد ا	Category	Use	Acre/Parcel	Total Acres		
Development		Quonset State Airport Air National Guard Military Housing General Dynamics	650 45 12 150	857 Acres	. 1	
able for	Common Service Areas	Roadways and Interchange Wastewater Treatment Facili	89 ty 22	121 Acres		
Unavai La	Sensitive and Recreational Areas	Environmentally sensitive areas and buffers 18-hole Golf Course Calf Pasture Point	282 166 210	658 Acres	÷ .	
		TOTAL AREA UNAVAILABLE FOR I	DEVELOPMENT -	1636 Acres	,	
Available	Navy Retained Land	Navy Permanent Mission and future mobilization land (Refer to Existing Land Use Plan, Sheet #1)		732 Acres		
tially	Areas	t Remaining land areas within Quonset/Davisville		832 Acres		
Potenti		TOTAL POTENTIALLY AVAILABLE	FOR DEVELOPMENT	1564 Acres	,	
		TOTAL QUONSET/DAVISVILLE LAN	ID AREA	3200 Acres		

#### 2) The Air National Guard (ANG)

This parcel, consisting of 45 acres, is a vital link in the State's defense and training system and should remain intact.

#### 3) General Dynamics Corp.

General Dynamics, consisting of 150 acres, was not considered for possible reduction or elimation, because it employs 5,000 people, and is a vital part of the State's economic stability and economic recovery plan.

#### 4) Military Housing

This 12-acre parcel in West Davisville is occupied by military personnel for housing and will continue for that purpose.

#### b) Common Service Areas

- 1) Roadways. A total of 121 acres has been utilized for the proposed interchange at the intersection of Route 1, Davisville Road and Roger Williams Way and for various auxiliary roadway requirements.
- Wastewater Treatment Facility. The 22-acre parcel adjacent to the Golf Course has been identified through an independent engineering study to be the optional location for this facility. It will not impede growth of any important component of this plan and with some concern for landscape treatment should be an attractive part of the new development.

It's location has been approved by concerned groups in the Town of North Kingstown.

#### c) Sensitive and Recreational Areas

#### 1) Environmentally Sensitive Areas.

Various areas throughout Quonset/Davisville have been identified by the Coastal Resources Center at the University of Rhode Island as being environmentally sensitive. These areas encompass sandy beaches, mud flats, salt marshes, and ponds. These sensitive areas not only harbor wildlife, but provide an interesting and welcomed relief from the toils of everyday life.

Therefore, 150 acres has been earmarked to remain untouched and are identified on the development scenarios.

#### Recreational Area - Golf Course.

The 18-hole Golf Course consisting of 166 acres should remain in its present location for the following reasons:

- (1) At a cost of \$50,000 per hole the total cost of replacement of the 18 holes and support facilities would be in the vicinity of \$1,000,000.
- (2) Any development to the west would conflict with the location of the new wastewater treatment facility and the open recreational area traversed by Mill Creek.
- (3) Any elimination of golf holes in the vicinity of the "O" Club for potential industrial development is not economically warranted, considering the cost of relocating each golf hole and the speculative nature of industrial expansion.

#### 3) Calf Pasture Point.

This parcel, consisting of 210 acres east of Allens Harbor, will be developed in the future by the Town of North Kingstown for recreational purposes.

#### 5. Areas Potentially Available for Development

#### a. Navy Permanent Mission

The areas described as Navy Permanent Mission sites are generally not available for lease. However, this study takes the position that these areas offer a tremendous amount of development potential when incorporated with the surrounding Surplus Areas. Specifically these areas are:

1) 119 acres in the West Davisville area adjacent to the main AMTRAK Rail System. The property is flat open land and encompass four large ware-houses (808,000 Sq. Ft.) which are provided with rail sidings. This site is adjacent to the Surplus Area defined in the Development Scenarios as Area #9.

- 2) 235 acres south of the Administrative Triangle in Davisville defined in the Development Scenarios as Area #12. The area is flat, open land serviced by rail, and offers warehouses and large flat lay down areas. The site is north of the Surplus Area defined in the Development Scenarios as Area #13.
- 3) 85 acres southwest of the Davisville Pier Area and 75 acres north of the flight path area. The site is flat, open land serviced by rail and offers warehouse space and a bulkhead. The site is adjacent to the Davisville Pier Area defined as Planning Area #1.

These parcels are within Surplus Areas defined in the Development Scenarios as Areas #5 and #7 respectively.

b. Remaining land areas within Quonset/Davisville available for Development are listed on the Scenario Plans (in Appendix VII) as follows: Areas #1, 2, 4 a 35-acre portion of Areas 5, 125 acre portion of Area 7, 90 acres of Area 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 comprising a total of 832 acres.

#### DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO 1

#### "HIGH FIND" - MIXED LAND USE

#### A. General Description

This Scenario represents an optimal land use plan for Quonset/Davisville, if the following conditions are met:

- Off-shore explorations produce a "high find" of commercially recoverable oil and gas. See Definitions on Page I-1.
- 2. The results of the Bureau of Land Management Environmental Impact Statement on off-shore drilling permits development as described in this Scenario.
- 3. The plan receives all local, State and Federal approvals necessary to proceed with development.

#### B. Land Area Categories

In Scenario 1, the allotment of land areas by category is described in detail on Table A, Page I-3 and in condensed form as follows:

1.	All unavailable parcels	1636 acres
2.	Navy-Retained Land Parcel 12 (not utilized)	235 acres
3.	New petroleum-related development parcels	
	<ul><li>a. Primary uses</li><li>b. Secondary uses (Areas 13, 21, 22, 23)</li></ul>	657 acres 125 acres
4.	New commercial and industrial parcels	531 acres
5.	New recreation parcels	16 acres

This acreage description illustrates that the primary oil and gas-related land uses would be limited to 782 acres or approximately 24% of the total 3200 acre site, for the following reasons:

a. Not all land areas at Quonset/Davisville lend themselves to petroleum-related use due to topography, access and relationship to waterfront. b. Not all "high find" support facilities as listed in the NERBC-RALI Report would locate on this site, because: a) adequate facilities exist elsewhere and, b) such facilities cannot be supported at Quonset in terms of utility demands, height restrictions (within the airport flightpath) and large land area requirements (2500 acres). These support facilities include an oil refinery of 1000 acres, marine terminals of 100 acres, gas processing plants of 345 acres and related pipe lines and landfalls of 6 acres.

The remaining land areas, 531 acres, would be developed for new commercial and industrial uses, some of which would be attractive to the oil and gas industry. For example, the hotel would be heavily utilized by petroleum-related activities as would be office buildings in Area 18 of the Technical Park.

#### C. Development Cost

The total development cost for Scenario 1 is projected at \$63,967,058. For a detailed breakdown of costs refer to Section VI of this report.

#### D. Land Area/Land Use Description

Area #1. This 100-acre site consists of Davisville Pier #2 and adjoining land to the north. At present both Pier #1 and Pier #2 and 70 acres of land are occupied by various petroleum related support companies. These temporary oil support companies have a one year lease agreement with a 30-day cancellation clause, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Protection and Maintenance Agreement between the Navy and the Rhode Island PAEDC.

Brown and Root, Inc., a cold steel fabrication company is presently negotiating for a right of first refusal to lease 100 acres of land at Davisville and Pier #2.

It is noted that the halting of off-shore drilling until the B.L.M. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is completed and reviewed will have an impact on additional oil support activity at Quonset/Davisville. How this will effect the present temporary oil support bases is not known. We expect this EIS procedure will be at least 18 months in duration.

Area #2. This 12-acre site in Allens Harbor, north of the cold steel fabrication area, is earmarked as a ferry landing for the Bay Island Park, a marine recreational plan for the State of Rhode Island connecting various land and island areas in Narragansett Bay.

Also, based on information submitted by Gladstone Associates, economic consultants, there is a need for additional boat slips in the State of Rhode Island. This information further indicates the need for at least 200 boat slips suggesting that marina expansion is economically viable.

The time table for the marina expansion may vary anywhere from 2-4 years depending on the results of the EIS and the economic climate at that time.

Area #3. The land west of Allens Harbor, consisting of approximately 85 acres, was used as a training area by the Seabees. This land is presently reserved for Navy future mobilization requirements.

A 7-acre parcel abutting Allens Harbor, now occupied by several storage buildings, could be utilized for a marina and boat storage as part of the overall development of Allens Harbor. It is recommended that new facilities be constructed in this area as part of the overall marina development.

The remaining land area of 78 acres could serve as an open storage area for oil support related supplies. It is noted that most of this 78 acres is not suitable for construction, i.e., one-tenth is wooded, one-fourth is a mud flat, and one-fourth is below the 100 year flood plain elevation of 13'-mean sea level.

Since this land is still under Navy ownership, usage would be restricted to the provisions of a Navy lease. Therefore, it is recommended that the purchase of the 30 acre site bordering Davisville Road which is above the flood plain, and the 7 acre site recommended for a marina, be pursued by the State of Rhode Island.

Area #4. This land area of approximately 30 acres, providing rail and vehicular access to Pier #1, may serve as a common corridor and pier area for a water transport facility. The implementation of this concept is essential to the flow of products shipped by water and offers an added valuable asset for any and all industrial growth of Quonset/Davisville.

This concept must be implemented soon after the completion of the land transfer, and EIS, assuming a favorable decision. Prompt action will be required since, at present, Pier #1 is occupied by temporary oil-related support companies, and the commencement of off-shore oil exploration will result in the establishment of Permanent Service Bases. These Bases would prefer to remain on Pier #1; however, we believe this area should be free for use as a common corridor to achieve optimal use of the total pier facility.

Area #5. The land area, east of the airport near the Davisville piers, consists of approximately 120 acres. Approximately 12 acres of the land is wooded. Six acres of that wooded land is within an 85-acre parcel presently retained for Navy Permanent Mission requirements.

This 85-acre parcel is of prime importance in the development of Quonset/Davisville for usage by oil related support bases for the following reasons: 1) its closeness to water; 2) access to proposed bulkhead and pier development; 3) the rail system; and 4) two large dehumidified warehouses.

This parcel, to repeat, is vital to the success of Scenario 1, and every effort possible must be made to negotiate a lease with the Navy.

The Permanent Services Bases that would occupy this 85-acre parcel require access and proximity to the piers. These bases will be established at Quonset/Davisville after exploratory off-shore drilling is complete. Anticipating a 2 year period for an EIS and 2 years of exploratory drilling, these Bases would occupy Quonset/Davisville within a range of 4-9 years.

Area #6. Based on a "high find", available information indicates a need for 4000 linear feet of bulkhead and 4000 linear feet of pier space. Both road and rail service will be required. This development is described in Section III, Transportation, pages 7 and 8.

Area #7. This land, north of the Airport Complex, consists of approximately 225 acres. Because of its close proximity to the Davisville Piers and because it is open land with some paved areas, it could serve the needs of additional Permanent Service Bases as well as for use as a pipe coating yard. Approximately 100 acres of this land are being retained by the Navy and would therefore be available only under the provisions of a short term lease.

Permanent pipe coating operations require 95 acres for pipe laydown and 5 acres for the pipe coating process plant.

A portable plant may be constructed on 30 acres of leased land. Depending on the number of Permanent Service Bases that would occupy Quonset Point under this Scenario, some consideration should be given to a portable plant versus a permanent installation. The pipe coating operations would take place prior to expansion and use of the remaining land area by a Permanent Service Base.

Both these occupants would require a rail spur in order to make their operations viable for the needs of off-shore oil drilling. Therefore, a new rail spur through this area has been indicated in this Development Scenario.

Area #8. This parcel, consisting of approximately 120 acres, is known as the Davisville administrative triangle. It has a small campus-like setting consisting of living, dining, administrative, and recreational facilities. With such features readily available, this area could serve as a research and administrative complex. This land may be used only on a temporary basis through a lease arrangement, since it is reserved for Navy future mobilization requirements.

Area #9. This parcel, west of Route 1, known as West Davisville, consists of approximately 310 acres. A 42-acre parcel containing four large warehouses could serve as temporary storage facilities for the various commercial and industrial businesses which would locate at Quonset Point.

A 65-acre parcel to the north of the warehouse site with its rail systems connecting directly with the AMTRAK Rail System, could serve as a central rail terminal and minibus shuttle depot for transporting goods and people to Quonset/Davisville.

These two land parcels are within a 107-acre site which is being retained for Navy Permanent Mission requirements. Therefore, use of this land may be only on a temporary lease arrangement.

The 90-acre parcel east of the central rail terminal also with rail service could serve a single industrial use. Some consideration was given to the use of this area by petroleum-support facilities. However, because most such support facilities require water locations and piers, this site was not given top priority.

The 12-acre parcel indicated as occupied by military housing will remain a residential area.

The remaining area of 101 acres is a red maple swamp and should remain undisturbed except for possible use as a primary access corridor to Quonset/Davisville.

Area #10. This 49-acre parcel has good visibility from Route 1 and therefore is a prime site for commercial development.

Area #11. This 21-acre parcel, with Mill Creek traversing the site, has been earmarked for open recreational use. It is recommended that Mill Creek be considered a sensitive area and remain undisturbed.

- Area #12. This 235-acre parcel containing numerous ware-houses and rail spurs on open, flat ground offices would make an excellent industrial site. However, with the exception of possible temporary lease arrangements, this land is reserved for future Navy Permanent Mission requirements, and is not utilized in this Scenario.
- Area #13. This 75-acre parcel to the north of the golf course could serve secondary oil-related industries. Such industrial uses may require rail service, necessitating the extension of a rail spur through the Navy property to the north.

These secondary petroleum-related industries would not occupy this parcel until 4-9 years after exploratory drilling has commenced. However, the land, together with the buildings, could be occupied on a temporary basis in accordance with the provisions of the standard Navy lease. Because of possible impacts from industrial usage of this parcel on surrounding land areas, it is recommended that landscape buffers be provided.

- Area #14. This 16-acre parcel adjacent to the golf course site is a natural location for a tennis club and court complex. Since the socio-economic assessment of recreational needs have projected a demand for these facilities, 8 indoor and 20 outdoor tennis courts have been included in this plan.
- Area #15. This 9-acre parcel, presently a parking area, is located at the entrance to Quonset Point. With the adjoining golf course and tennis courts, this site has been indicated as the location for a motor hotel complex since demand would be high for overnight lodging, food and beverage facilities.
- Area #16. This 68-acre parcel abutting the easterly border of the Shore Acres community, has good road and utilities access, and favorable topographic characteristics.

For these reasons, a multi-use Technical Park has been located here, with buffer areas landscaped to minimize the contact with adjacent residential properties.

- Area #17 & #19. These two 7-acre parcels could function separately or be combined into one parcel for industrial use. In both instances, careful planning must be ensured to avoid impacts on the other uses in the immediate area.
- Area #18. This 66-acre parcel, because of its proximity to the golf course and frontage on the access road to the "O" Club and Davisville area, is projected for use as an Office Park. Please note that Building #8 and part of Building #9

are scheduled to remain because of their structural soundness, architectural interest and potential for re-use. Building #8, (formerly a Navy hospital) could serve as a Medical Office Building. Building #9, (formerly a Navy B.O.Q.), has an attractive stone entrance wall and flagstone terrace lined with large maple trees, and could serve, with some modifications, as an office building.

- Area #20. This 16-acre parcel, south of the Port Authority Building #7 on Quonset Road, is earmarked for a single industrial use.
- Area #21. This 40-acre parcel, north of General Dynamics, could serve as a secondary oil-related industrial site. Some of the buildings in this area might provide temporary space since the secondary oil related industries would not occupy this parcel until 4-9 years hence.
- Area #22 and #23. These 5-acre parcels at each end of General Dynamics are earmarked for secondary petroleum-related industries. Please note that several of the areas designated for oil-related use may continue to be used on a temporary basis for lengthy periods by non-oil related industries.
- Area #24. The 8-acre parcel north of the General Dynamics storage area is an irregular shaped land parcel which could serve a single industry. Area #20 abutting this parcel to the west could be combined with this parcel to meet the requirements of a potential developer.
- Area #25. This 14-acre land parcel adjoining the Quonset Carrier Pier, could serve as a storage and shipping depot for the secondary petroleum-related industries. The primary oil support facilities are located at Davisville Pier #1 and adjoining areas, leaving the Quonset Pier to serve a secondary function.
- Area #26. This 22-acre, irregularly shaped land parcel abutting General Dynamics is earmarked for their potential expansion.

#### Sensitive Areas

A total of 282 acres of "sensitive" land has been identified and should remain untouched. These land areas are sandy beaches, salt marshes, and other natural vegetative areas as defined by the Coastal Resources Center at the University of Rhode Island as part of their environmental assessment of Quonset/Davisville. These vegetative areas represent interesting areas that lend to overall development and are of no practical value. We have an obligation to save these areas which harbor the wildlife, and provide a natural amenity to the Technical Park.

#### DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO 2

FEB 1.978

- This Scenario represents an optimal land use plan for Quonset/Davisville if the following conditions are met:

  1. Off-shore explorations produce a "commercially recoverable Definitions. Pr
- The results of the B.L.M. Environmental Impact Statement on off-shore drilling permit development as described in this Scenario.
- The plan receives all local, State and Federal approvals required for development.

#### Land Area Categories.

In Scenario 2, the allotment of land uses by category is described in detail on Table A, Page I-3, and in condensed form as follows:

1.	All unavailable parcels	1636 acres
2.	Navy retained land Parcel 12 (not utilized)	235 acres
3.	New primary petroleum-related development parcels	523 acres
4.	New commercial and industrial parcels	790 acres
5.	New recreation parcels	16 acres

This acreage description illustrates that the primary oil and gas-related land uses would be limited to 523 acres, or approximately 16% of the total 3200 acre sites.

#### C. <u>Differences Between Scenario 1 and Scenario 2</u>

- In Scenario 2, the new bulkhead in Area #6 would be required (as in Scenario 1) but the finger piers would not be constructed.
- The "Secondary oil-related" parcels in Scenario 1, (Areas #13, 21, 22 and 23) probably would not be required by the oil and gas companies, and would be available for standard industrial use.

3. The requirement by the oil and gas companies for office space in Area #18 would be diminished, as would potential demand for motel, food and beverage and other commercial functions.

#### D. Development Costs

The total development cost for Scenario 2 is projected at \$61,084,890. For a detailed breakdown of costs refer to Section VI, of this report.

#### E. Land Area/Land Use Description

Area #1. This 100-acre parcel consists of Davisville Pier #2 and the adjoining land to the north. At present, both Pier #1 and Pier #2 and the surrounding 70 acres of land are occupied by various oil related support companies. These temporary oil support companies are presently occupying space under the Protection and Maintenance Agreement with the Navy.

Brown and Root, Inc., a cold steel fabrication company, is presently negotiating for a right of first refusal to lease 100 acres of land at Davisville together with Pier #2. However, the "find" resulting from the exploratory off-shore oil drilling operations will determine whether or not this cold steel fabrication company will locate at Davisville. Assuming a low to medium find, this 100 acre site may be occupied by Permanent Service Bases.

Please note that the delay of off-shore drilling pending the completion of a B.L.M. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will vary the time schedule. We estimate that the EIS will take 2 years to complete and evaluate. Assuming a favorable ruling, we estimate that an additional 3 years will pass before the influx of the Permanent Service Bases take place.

- Area #2. This 12-acre site in Allens Harbor is earmarked for marine recreational use as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #3. This 85-acre parcel west of Allens Harbor could serve the same purpose as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #4. This 30-acre parcel could serve as a common corridor for the flow of products to Pier #1 as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #5. This 120-acre parcel east of the airport and near the Davisville Piers could serve as oil related support bases for reasons described in Scenario 1.
- Area #6. Based on a "medium find", available information indicated a need for 4000 linear feet of bulkhead. Also, both rail and vehicular service will be required. This concept is reflected in Section III Transportation, Pages 7-8.

- Area #7. This 225-acre parcel north of the Airport could serve oil-related purposes as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #8. This 120-acre parcel known as the Davisville Administrative Triangle could serve as a research and administrative complex as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #9. This 310-acre parcel west of Route 1, known as West Davisville, could serve the same purposes as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #10. This 49-acre parcel at the intersection of Route 1 and Davisville Road could serve for commercial development as mentioned in Scenario 1.
- Area #11. This 21-acre parcel is earmarked for open recreational use as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #12. This 235-acre parcel could serve an industrial function with restrictions described in Scenario 1.
- Area #13. This 75-acre parcel to the north of the golf course could serve as an industrial site. With the possible need for rail service to this parcel, a rail spur would have to be extended through the Navy retained land to the north. Because of the possible visual conflicts of this parcel with surrounding land use, it is recommended that landscape buffers be provided.
- Area #14. This 16-acre parcel could serve for a tennis complex as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #15. This 9-acre parcel could serve as an office, retail or hotel complex, as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #16. This 68-acre parcel could serve as a multi-use technical park for reasons described in Scenario 1.
- Area #17 and #19. These two 7-acre parcels could serve as industrial sites as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #18. This 66-acre parcel could serve as an office and medical park as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #20. This 16-acre parcel could serve an industrial use as mentioned in Scenario 1.
- Area #21. This 40-acre parcel north of General Dynamics could serve a single industrial use. The present buildings could serve a temporary purpose until demand for this parcel is generated.

Areas #22 and #23. These 5-acre parcels at each end of General Dynamics are earmarked for industrial use. In addition, these parcels may serve as parking areas for General Dynamics, or be held in a temporary status for other industrial uses.

Area #24. This 8-acre parcel could serve a single industry as described in Scenario 1.

Area #25. This 14-acre parcel, together with the Quonset Pier, may serve the fishing industry. One should consider the attraction of birds to fishing boats and its adverse affect on the airplane navigation within the adjacent airport approach zone.

The Quonset Pier facilities, with its rail service, can function as a water transport facility to service the entire Quonset Complex as well.

Area #26. This 22-acre parcel is earmarked for potential expansion as described in Scenario 1.

#### Sensitive Areas

A total of 282 acres of land have been identified and should remain untouched for reasons described in Scenario 1.

#### DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO 3

#### "NO FIND" - MIXED LAND USE

- A. General Description. This Scenario results from an investigation of the potential for land use at Quonset/Davisville should there be a "low find" or "no find" of off-shore oil and gas or if oil-related facilities for any other reason should not be located on this site.
- B. Land Areas. In Scenario 3, the allotment of land uses by Category as described in detail on Table A, page I-3 and in condensed form as follows:

(1)	All unavailable parcels	1636	acres
(2)	Navy-retained land (not utilized)	235	acres
(3)	New commercial and industrial parcels	1313	acres
(4)	New recreation parcels	16	acres

The acreage description illustrates that new uses comprise 1329 acres or approximately 41% of the total 3200 acres within the Study Area.

- C. The fundamental differences between Scenario 3 and Scenario 1 and 2 are as follows:
  - 1. There would be no requirement for bulkheading channel excavation, piers, or other special work in Area #6.
- D. Development Costs. The total development cost for Scenario 3 is projected at \$45,616,371. For a detailed breakdown of costs refer to Section VI of this report.
- E. Land Area/Land Use Description

Area #1. This 100-acre parcel consists of Davisville Pier #2 and adjoining land to the north. At present, both Pier #1 and Pier #2, and the surrounding 70 acres of land are occupied by various oil related support companies under one year lease agreements with a 30-day cancellable clause.

In this scenario, no commercial finds of petroleum are assumed to occur on George's Bank. Thus the Temporary Oil Service Bases at Davisville would vacate. Such a likelihood could conceivably occur within 5-7 years pending B.L.M. Environmental Impact Statement submission and ruling and the results of oil exploratory drilling.

In this event, this parcel could serve a single industry which requires rail service and water frontage for shipping purposes are necessary.

- Area #2. This 12-acre site in Allens Harbor is earmarked for marine recreational use as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #3. This 85-acre parcel west of Allens Harbor could serve the same purpose as described in Scenario 1 except that the open storage area would not be used by oil-related support companies.
- Area #4. This 30-acre parcel could serve as a common corridor for the flow of products to Pier #1 as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #5. This 120-acre parcel is designated for industrial use. Approximately 85 acres of this 120-acre site are Navy owned. This 85 acre parcel is of prime importance in the development of Quonset/Davisville for water-borne shipping. This parcel contains an elaborate rail system together with two large dehumidified warehouses. It is not likely that this 85 acre parcel will be released by the Navy without a significant re-use of the property, however, a temporary lease agreement should be pursued, if industry is interested in the parcel.
- <u>Area #6</u>. The development of this area for additional piers or bulkhead is not recommended since most of the assigned industrial areas are basically speculative.
- Area #7. This 225-acre parcel north of the airport complex has been designated for industrial use and open storage. Approximately 100 acres of this land are being retained by the Navy and would be available on a short term lease arragement.
- It is noted that landscape buffers are recommended on Davisville Road fronting this land parcel.
- Area #8. This 120-acre parcel could serve as a research and administrative complex as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #9. This 310-acre parcel, known as West Davisville, could serve the same purposes as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #10. This 49-acre parcel at the intersection of Route 1 and Davisville Road could serve for commercial development as mentioned in Scenario 1.
- Area #11. This 21-acre parcel is earmarked for open recreational use as described in Scenario 1.
- Area #12. This 235-acre parcel could serve an industrial use with restructions described in Scenario 1.

Area #13. This 75-acre parcel to the north of the golf course could serve as an industrial site. With the possible need for rail service to the parcels, a rail spur would have to be extended through the Navy retained land to the north. To accomplish this, a railroad construction service agreement will be necessary. However, due to the speculative nature of this site and the costs of a rail spur, new track construction should be delayed until more favorable conditions become apparent.

Because of the possible visual conflicts of this pacel with surrounding land use, it is recommended that landscape buffers be provided.

Area #14. This 16-acre parcel could serve for a tennis complex as described in Scenario 1.

Area #15. This 9-acre parcel could serve as an office,
retail or hotel complex as described in Scenario 1.

Area #16. This 68-acre parcel could serve as a multi-use technical park for reasons described in Scenario 1.

Area #17 and #19. These two 7-acre parcels could serve as industrial sites as described in Scenario 1.

Area #18. This 66-acre parcel could serve as an office and medical park as described in Scenario 1.

Area #20. This 16-acre parcel could serve an industrial use as mentioned in Scenario 1.

Area #21. This 40-acre parcel could serve a single industrial use as described in Scenario 2.

Area #22 and #23. These 5-acre parcels are earmarked for industrial use as described in Scenario 2.

Area #24. This 8-acre parcel could serve a single industry as described in Scenario 1.

Area #25. This 14-acre parcel and Quonset pier could serve the fishing industry with some reservations as described in Scenario 2.

Area #26. This 22-acre parcel is earmarked for potential expansion as described in Scenario 1.

Sensitive Areas. A total of 282 acres of land have been identified and should remain untouched for reasons described in Scenario 1.

## Building Inventory of Quonset / Davisville, Rhode Island Categories Inventoried:

- 1. Administration, Offices
- 2. Hospital, Dispensary
- 3. Dining Facilities, Food Handling & Storage
- 4. Barracks
- 5. Housing, Quarters
- 6. Recreation Facilities
- 7. Miscellaneous
- 8. Navy Exchange, Commissaries
- 9. Classrooms, Instruct. Buildings, Small Shops
- 10. Sewage Disposal
- 11. Heating, Power Plants
- 12. Warehouses, Storage, P.W. Garages, Magazines
- 13. Piers
- 13A Water Supply
- 14. Electric Boat Bldgs. (Not Inventoried as to condition)
- 15. Airport Buildings
- 16. National Guard Bldgs.

#### Categories not Inventoried

Trans. Vaults, Fuel Tanks, Buildings Under \$10,000 Replace Value (Total Approximately \$200,000 @ Quonset & \$700,000 @ Davisville.

= \$1,000,000 + )

#### Category Condition

G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor

#### Summary of

#### Building Cost Analysis

#### Quonset

Category	SF	ACQ.	Rep.
1 - 13	3,340,000	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 138,000,000
14. EB	2,000,000	23,000,000	104,000,000
15. Airport	144,000	2,000,000	5,300,000
16. R.I.A.N.G.	312,000	3,700,000	15,600,000
			ř
1 - 16	5,796,000	\$63,700,000	\$262,900,000
			•
	Davisville	Λ.	
Category	SF	Acquisition Cost	Replacement cost
	- <del></del>		
1 - 13	4,400,000	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 124,000,000

Catego	ory	Present Use	Potential Use	Proposed Demolition
1. Admir	1.			
Bldg.	Condition			
#7	G	R.I.P.A., R.D.N. Res. Sedo Inc., CD Prep. Agcy.	x	
421	P			<b>x</b>
438	P			x
523	F			x
437	P			x
620	F	Custy Lobster Inc.		<b>x</b>
2. Hospita	1			<u></u>
Dispens (Brid		<u> </u>	Brick Portion	Wood Portion
#8 (Wood				x x
508	F			x
3. Dining, Hand. &	Food Storage			
#15	F	Murphy Caterers Quonset Pt.Credit Union		x .
#55	F			<b>x</b> .
#173	F		,	x
14	F	·		x
4. Barracks	}			
# 56	F-P			×
41-48	F-P			<b>x</b>
49-54	F~P			x
		II-3		

Category		Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
4. Barracks				
Bldg.	Condition			
# 432	P		,	×
433	P			×
491	Р			×
518	F-P			x
<b>5</b> 22	F		Offices	
9	F			
<b>4</b> 90	P			x
503	P			x
<b>■</b> 504				
504	P			×
5. Housing Quarters				
(Circle A-T)	F-P			×
СРО	F-P			x
Kiefer Park	P			x
Hoskins Park	P			x
Military Dr.	P			x
Navy Dr.	P			x
Area #1	F			x
Area #2	F			<b>X</b>
Dogpatch	F-P			<b>x</b>
DM0Q5	F	R.C.Diocese of Prov.		x
DM099	F	11 11 11 11		x
D250	F	*		x
	£			<b>.</b>
<del></del>		II <b>-4</b>		

	Present	Potential				
Category	Use	Use	Demolition			
_ 6. Recreation						
Bldg. Condition						
# 12 G	"O" Club Custy Lobster Inc.&	Same				
62 F	Dept. of Natural Res.		×			
435 P			x			
436 P			×			
502 P			х .			
505 P			<b>x</b> 7			
506 P			x			
<b>B</b> 875 F	Bath House	Same	• .			
876 F	II	PP ,				
877 F	Pool Filter					
922 F		C.P.O. Club				
923 P			x			
DS- 34 P			×			
DS- 69 G	Golf Course Club House	Same				
#759 & Assoc. Bldgs. P			×			
DS- 45 P			x			
S- 89 G	Diocese of Prov.		x			
7. Miscellaneous						
#157 F-P			Chapel			
65 F-P			Laundry			
407 G	Fire Station	Same				
410 F-P			Incinerator			
_						
	II-5					

Category		Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition		
	7. Miscellaneous					
Bldg. Cond	ition					
DE-7	P	•		Laundry		
395	P	Mac's Automotive Service		x		
School	F	Town of N.Kingstown	x			
829,830,831	P	Preston Elec.Inc.	×			
8. Navy Excha				~		
#934	G			x		
DHJ-3	G (new)		Storehouse			
-	P (old)			x		
9. Classrooms Instr. & Shop						
#413	F	<b>o</b> .		×		
458	F	Painting Service Co.		×		
459	F	Custy Lobster Inc.		<b>x</b>		
507	F	Quonset Metal Finishing Co.		x		
694	F	Fit 'N Stich		x		
10. Sewage Dis	p.					
#66A-66M	F	Sewage Treat. Plant.		×		
DS - 55	F	Sewage Pump Station		x		
I						
		TT-6				

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
ll. Heating Power Plants			
Bldg. Condition			:
#64 F	Central Heat.Plant		x
195-198 P			×
■ 63 F-P			×
12. Warehouses Storage Garages, Magazines			
#13 F	Maintenance Shops		<b>x</b> .
19 F	Ocean State Testing Co.		x
■ 20 F	Becker & Goldstein, Inc.		ж
59 F	·		×
98 F			×
100 F			×
102 F			<b>x</b> .
104 F	·		×
143 F	State Fire Marshall		×
144 F-P			×
145 F	·		x
412 F			x
414 F			x
415 F	R.I. Ship Supply		x
416 F			x
	11-7		

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
12. Warehouses, Storage Garages, Magazines	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Bldg. Condition			
418 F	Becker & Goldstein, Inc.		x
419 F		•	x
423 P			x
410 F	Preston Electronics, Inc.		×
■ 424 P			×
425-430 P			×
431 P			×
451 F		۳	x
460 F			x
■ 462 F			×
516 P	·		×
517 P			×
■ 518 P			x
533-535 F			x
621 P			×
630 F	Kingston Pipe		×
58 P			×
380 P			x
384 F	Narragansett Elec.Co.		×
393 P			x
39 4 P	Rhode Island Ocean		x
880 G	State Training Facility	Same	
•	II-8		

# Building Inventory - Quonset Point

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
12. (Con't.)			
Bldg. Condition			
DE11 F	Sheilco Ltd.		×
DE 12 F	Harter Underwater Corp.		x
DEE-1 P	,		<b>x</b> /
DEE-5 G		Warehouse	
D <b>F11</b> F			x
DFG-3 F			x
DS-24 P			x
DS-33 P	·		×
DS-45 P			x
D-180 F	Eastman Whipstock	·	x
D-184 F		.*	x
487 F			x
13. Pier Area			
Various Bldgs on Pier	Mobil Oil Co. Custy Lobster Inc.		x x
	Dept.Nat.Resources	·	x
13A Water Supply			1
#909	Water Meter Pit	×	·
466	Under. Reservoir	×	
57	Pump House	×	
485	11 W	<b>x</b> .	
851	Valve House	x	
	·		
	II <b>-</b> 9		

# Building Inventory - Quonset Point

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
13A Water Supply (Cont'd)	· ·		
Bldg. Condition			
D75	Pump Station	х	
D75-5	Under.Reservoir	x	·
D75-6	Under.Reservoir	×	
D304	Water Storage Tank	×	
			• • •
	II-10	·	

Ī			Present	Potential	
	Cate	egory	Use	Use	Demolition
	1. Admir	nistration		•	
	Bldg.	Condition			
	#101	F		Offices	
	A-78	F .			x
	A-129	F		Offices .	
	A-130	F		<u>, s</u>	
	A-133	F			x
	<b>S6</b>	F.		·	×
	S8	F		'	x
	S32	F		·	x
	W5	F			x
	W319	F			x
_	404	G	N.C.B.C. Hdqts.	Same	
	395	F			x
	397	F			x
	3. Dining Hand.	,Food & Storage			
-	C100	G		Dining Hall	
	212	F			x
	4. Barric	ks			
-	C101	G		Housing-Quarters	
. 8	C-102	G		н	·
	C-103	G		n .	
	C-104	G		u	
	C-105	G		n .	
				·	
*		,	11-11		,

Puilding	Inventory	 Davisville
- KIII LAINA	INVENTATV	 Davisville

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	<u>Demolition</u>
4. Barracks (Cont'd)			
Bldg. Condition			
C-106 G		Housing -Qtrs.	
C-107 G	·	<b>"</b>	·
C-108 G		u	
C-109 G		н -	
391 G		H	
392 G	, .	11	
	·		
5. Housing			
D264-D272 F			X .
Ė		e .	
6. Recreation			
#108 P			x
236 P			×
237 P			×
AH-2A P		•	x
C-114 G		Recreation	
C-115 G		Gymnasium	
E-112 G		Small Boat Berths	•
S-89 G	R.C.Diocese of Prov.		×
379 G		Theater	
380 G		Library	
•			·
•	11-12		,

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
	1 030	USE	Demoticion
7. Miscellaneous Bldg. Condition			T
#341 F			Chapel
S-16 G			Fire Sta.
8. Navy Exchange		4	
#102 F			x
9. Classrooms, Instr. Bldg. Shops	1		
#27 P			×
31 F		,	x
32 F			x
■ 44 F			x
47 F			x
<b>4</b> 8 F			x
<b>■</b> 56 F			x
58 P			x
<b>■</b> 59 P	·		x
60 P			×
62 F	`		×
63 F			×
69 P			x
106 P		Distal Dames	x
111 G		Pistol Range & Armory	
113 F			x
_			
	II <b>-</b> 13		
	<u></u>		

Categoi	cy .	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
9. Classrooms Bldg.Sho	s,Instr.			
Bldg.	Condition			
118	G	· ·		×
175	F			×
213	F			×
277	F			×
279	F			x
280	F			×
295	F			x
312	G		Storage	
324	F			×
330	<b>F</b> :			x
332	P			×
343	P			×
344	P			x
345	P			×
365	F	(No Access)		x
368	F			x
370	F	Schlumberger		x
371	F	Services		x
372	F			. x
374	Р			. x
A-10CT	G		Battery Shop	
A-11	F			x
			·	
		11-14		

Category		Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
9. (Con't.				
Bldg.	Condition			
<b>■</b> A-12	· F		:	x
A-63	P			×
A-64	P			x
A-66	P			×
A-132	P			<b>x</b>
AH-30	F	Sheilco		x .
AH-4A	F			<b>x</b>
B-11	F	,		x
C-116	G		Classrooms	
C-117	F			x
C-118	F			x
C-120	F			x
C-121	F			x
C-122	F			x
C-123	F			x
C-124	F			x
C-125	F			x
C-126	F			x
C-130	F		• .	x
C-131	F			x
D-11	F			x
D-12	F			×
E-107	F	(No Access)		×
_				
	1	II <b>-1</b> 5		

1	Categ	ory	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
9.	Classroo Bldg.	ms,Instr. Shops (Con't.)			
	Bldg.	Condition			
	S-9	F			×
-	S-10	F	·		×
	S-11	F		•	ж
	s-17	F			х
	S-20	F			×
	S-21	F	·		<b>x</b>
	S-22	F			×
	S-23	F			×
	S-40	F	·	,	×
	S-41	G .	·	Maintenance Shop	
	S-42	F		·	x
	S-82	P			×
	S-83	P			<b>x</b> ,
	S-84	P			×
	S-85	G			×
	s-101	F			, <b>x</b>
	S-102	F			×
	S-103	F	· .		×
	S-104	F	Baroid Division, N.L.		x
	S-105	F	Industries		×
	S-106	F	. 11	•	x
	S-107	F	Mc Junkin Corp.	i	x
					·
			II-16		·
			TT-T0 /	•	

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
9. (Con't.)			
Bldg. Condition			
S-108 F	Milchem Inc.		x
S-109 F			x
S-110 F			x
S-111 F		s l	x
S-112 F			x
S-113 F	100		x
S-114 F	STATE OF THE PARTY		×
S-115 F	O. C.		x
S-116 F	FEB 1	1010	x
S-117 F	EEB "		×
S-118 F			×
S-119 F			x
S-120 F			×
T-2 F			x
T-3 F			x
T-4 F			×
T-5 F			, <b>x</b>
<b>T</b> −6 F			×
T-7 F		,	x
T-8 F			x
T-9 F			<b>x</b>
T-10 F			x
T-11 F		·	x
			•
	II-17		

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
9. (Con't.)			
Bldg. Condition	_		
T-13 F			×
T-15 F			×
T-16 F	i i		×
T-17 F			×
T-18 F			x
T-19 F			<b>x</b> ;
378 F			×
390 F			x
399 F		,	* . <b>X</b>
408 F			x
68 F			x
Heating 11. Power Plants			
#103 G		Heating Plant	
210 F			×
225 G	Heating Plant	Heating Plant	
C-113 G	II.	to to	
S-18A F			x
S-29A F			x
T-1A F			x .
W-3A F			×
W-5A F		,	x
•			
	11-18		1

	Bu	ilding Inventory - Da	avisville	
Categor	у	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
2. Warehouses Garages, M	,Stor. lagaz.			
Bldg.	condition			
#18	G		Warehouse	
#34	G		u	
# 35	G		ı,	·
#36	G		Warehouse	
37	G		u.	
38	G		11	·
39	G		nf .	
40	G	•	ti .	
41	G		el	
42	G		11	4.
43	F			x
45	F			×
46	F			x
64	G		Warehouse	
67	F			x
218	F			×
224	G			x
314	G		Warehouse	
315	G		u	
316	G		"	
317	G			
318	G			
310				

Category	Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
12. (Con't.)			
Bldg. Condition			
#363 G			×
AB-3 F			×
C-132 F			x
CO-3 F			x
E-319 G		Warehouse	-
_ C-119 F			x
S-12 F			×
S-18 F		•	×
S-19 F		,	×
S-19A P			x
■ S-26 G	4		×
T-1 F	·		x
W-1 F			x
₩ <b>-</b> 2 F			* <b>x</b> .
W-3 F	•		x
W-4 F			x
W-6 G		Warehouse	,
₩-7 G		11	
410 F			x
C-126 F			x
E-111 F			x
AH-30 F	Sheilco Ltd.		x
A136,A137,AH5B F	Imco Services	·	x
	II-20		

Category		Present Use	Potential Use	Demolition
12. (Con't.)				
Bldg. Cond	<u>ition</u>			
27,69,210,212 213	F	Imco Services		x
	F	Oilfield Products Group		x
	F	II II II		×
13. Piers				
#1	G G	Baroid Division, N.C. Industries, Inc. Drilling Fluids Div. Milchen, Inc.	Same	
tr	G	Shell Oil Co.	ıı .	• •
	g	B.J. Hughes, Inc.	11	
	·			
#2	G	Imco Services Division Halliburton Corp. Oilfield Products Group Dresser Industries Houston Oil and Minerals Corp.	11	
	·	Mobil Oil Co.	17	
		Exxon Co.	10	
1				
	1	II-21		

## BUILDING INVENTORY QUONSET - TOTALS

Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	77,046 93,573 123,370 598,574 1,218,595 162,153 29,316 59,600 27,241 16,107 40,660 795,639 93,760	\$ 714,768 1,240,171 1,941,416 4,883,845 7,877,668 1,954,875 432,861 778,018 256,437 314,253 6,307,331 6,623,389 1,562,224	\$ 3,346,000 6,322,000 9,476,000 26,878,000 18,565,000 7,769,000 2,003,000 1,352,400 822,000 1,049,000 30,846,000 22,218,000 7,094,000
14. 15. 16.	1,940,604 143,726 311,667	\$34,887,256 \$22,586,200 \$ 1,939,266 \$ 3,648,828	\$137,740,400 \$103,967,000 \$ 5,223,000 \$ 15,556,000

				In		
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	<u>Usable</u>	Demo.
1. Adminis	tration				•	
#7 421 438 523 437 620	42,550 1,040 22,000 640 7,700 3,116	\$511,445 2,000 126,000 2,500 35,000 37,823	\$2,501,000 10,000 575,000 11,000 180,000 69,000	x		x x x x
	77,046	\$714,768	\$3,346,000			
2. Hospita	l - Disper	nsary			<b>5</b>	
#8 508	91,350 2,223	\$1,184,149 56,022	\$6,092,000 230,000	·	Brick x	Wood x
	93,573	\$1,240,171	\$6,322,000			•
3. Dining I	Facilities	s, Food Hand	ling & Storage			
#15 #55 #173 #14	14,060 71,071 3,254 34,985	\$ 269,040 1,284,421 20,279 367,676	\$1,316,000 6,285,000 76,000 1,799,000	x x	x	×
	123,370	\$1,941,416	\$9,476,000			
4. Barracks	5			·		· :
#56 #41 to #48 #49 to #54 #432 #433 #491 #518 #522 #9 #490 #503 #504	3,786 160,656 120,492 17,611 17,511 13,300 13,737 56,600 159,281 11,400 11,600 12,600	1,309,660	\$ 288,000 8,908,000 4,817,000 640,000 641,000 367,000 318,000 1,089,000 8,882,000 265,000 276,000 387,000		x x	x x x x x x
	598,574	\$4,883,845	\$26,878,000			

				In		
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	Usable	Demo.
5. Housing,	Quarters					
(circle) A-T (C.P.O.) 21-40 Kiefer Park	69,599 30,493	\$ 202,710 122,051	\$ 1,075,000 648,000			x x
(202-370)=168 Hoskins Park Military Dr. Navy Drive Area #1 Area #2 Dog Patch	201,375 414,162 196,800 106,278 79,698 45,960 74,230	755,193 1,287,663 1,834,000 987,794 1,705,719 976,230 331,069	4,084,000 2,762,000 2,940,000 1,591,000 2,324,000 1,331,000 1,810,000		•	x x x x x x
]	L,218,595	\$7,877,668	\$18,565,000			
6. Recreation	on Facilit	ies				
#11 #12 #62 #759	24,100 5,895	\$ 484,000 161,784	\$2,366,000 792,000	x		x x
Assoc. Bldgs. #435 #436 #502 #505 #506 #875 #876 #877 #922 #923 DS-34 DS-69	12,896 19,594 11,400 12,600 29,568 900 10,000 1,200 8,000 13,000 4,000 9,000	120,952 450,375 66,000 67,495 172,269 9,000 10,000 23,000 243,500 26,000 30,500 90,000	454,000 1,950,000 270,000 277,000 705,000 16,000 17,000 39,000 321,000 36,000 138,000 388,000	x x x	x	x x x x x
7. Miscellan	neous					
#157 Chapel #65 Laundry #407 Fire Sta. #410 Incin. DE-7 Laundry	7,400 7,381 8,859 2,076 3,600	\$ 71,000 196,506 83,198 47,157 35,000	\$ 290,000 962,000 378,000 214,000 159,000	x	×	x x
	29,316	\$432,861	\$2,003,000			

			_	In		•
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	<u>Usable</u>	Demo.
8. Navy Exch	ange, Co	mmissaries				
#395	2,800	\$ 20,018	\$ 87,000	x		- 1
#934	1,800	47,000	56 <b>,</b> 400			x
DHJ-3	55,000	711,000	1,209,000		new	oĬđ
	59,600	\$778,018	\$1,352,400	V.		
9. Classroom	s, Instr	uctional Bldg	ys., Small Sho	ps		
#412	4,284	\$ 16,904	\$ 77,000			x
#453	1,250	6,600		x	•	
#458	7,930	68,900	298,000	×		
#459	6,230	90,801	166,000	×		
#507	5,622	29,423	120,000	×	•	3.5
#510	830	16,000	74,000	x		
#694	1,095	27,809	49,000	x		
	27,241	\$256,437	\$822,000			
10. Sewage D	isposal					7
#66A-66M	14,219	\$293,653	\$1,006,000	х		
DS-55	1,888	20,600	43,000	x		
DD-33	1,000	20,000	45,000			
	16,107	\$314,253	\$1,049,000			
ll. Heating	- Power	Plants				
#64	35,655	\$6.247.078	\$30,567,000	x		
#195-#198	1,536	12,000	60,000	22		x
#63	2,715	39,253	178,000	x		*-
" 0 0						,
	40,660	\$6,307,331	\$30,846,000			
12. Warehous	es, Stor	age, Garages,	. Magazines			į.
#13	18,700	\$221,000	\$1,169,000	×		•
#19	1,930	41,304	202,000	x		
#20	9,525	126,977	621,000	×	•	
#59	23,573	190,927	867,000		×	
#98	5,151	76,187	346,000			x
#100	3,074	18,408	90,000			X
#102	1,300	15,187	69,000		•	· X
#104	10,250	152,300	692,000			. <b>X</b>
#143	1,377	15,884	69,000			x
#144	1,377	15,884	69,000			x
#145	6,171	47,126	214,000			x
#412	10,833	64,459	264,000	×		
#414	12,600	73,355	317,000		x	
#415	2,412	\$ 13,801	\$ 63,000			x

•				In		
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	Usable	Demo.
#416	5,252	\$ 48,456	\$ 220,000			x
#418	10,900	18,074	82,000	x		
#419	10,250	20,248	88,000	. <b></b>		x
#423	3,000	11,000	58,000			x
#424	3,000	11,000	58,000			×
#425-430	104,832	731,301	3,330,000			x
#431	5,234	61,121	278,000			x
#451	9,312	48,925	225,000	×		
#460	1,540	10,000	43,000	X		
#462	4,284	19,463	101,000			x
#516	12,600	47,015	193,000		•	x
#517	12,600	76,270	313,000		•	×
#518	13,737	74,182	318,000			X
#533-535	12,000	56,743	121,000	x		^
#621	1,200	4,000	17,000	•		x :
#630	18,062	576,493	1,014,000	x		
# 58	7,036	75,789	371,000		4	×
#380	1,900	10,200	50,000			×
#384	4,000	27,000	132,000			x
#393	1,612	27,256	118,000			X
#394	1,062	4,100	18,000			x
#880	71,493	1,872,799	3,064,000	x	•	
DE-11&12	8,200	26,000	116,000	•		x
DEE-1	22,000	33,000	153,000			×
DEE-5	175,000	850,000	3,676,000		x	
DF-11	4,100	13,000	58,000			x
DFG-3	30,000	128,000	551,000		×	
DS-24	41,000	94,000	426,000			x
DS-33	20,000	63,500	288,000	•		x
DS-45	50,000	263,000	1,077,000			x
D-180	14,400	162,000	434,000	x		
D-184	3,200	15,000	68,000			x
#921	3,465	43,846	58,000	· <b>x</b>		
#487	1,095	27,809	49,000	×		
₩ 207		27,7003				
	795,639	\$6,623,389	\$22,218,000			
13. Pier						
#495	93,760	\$1,562,224	\$7,094,000	x	•	

## 14. Electric Boat Buildings at Quonset

	•	•			CC	STS
BUILDI	NG	TOTAL A	AREA	•	ACQ.	REPLACE.
1		106,330	Square		s 965,300	\$ 4,723,000
2		111,560	11	11	1,063,700	5,542,000
10		14,000	**	11	135,100	661,000
16		242,030	11	11	2,009,400	9,832,000
17		51,972	**	**	668,900	3,273,000
60		622,726	11	<b>61</b>	11,553,100	57,680,000
149		N/A			<del>-</del>	-
151		3,272	11	11	30,000	113,000
152		2,984	*1	**	11,300	43,000
166		N/A	_		<b>-</b>	
374		65,500	11	ft	197,200	965,000
375		59,000	**	77	209.600	1,025,000
406		111,300	11	11	1,194,500	5,424,000
409		7.34	11	**	3,700	17,000
420		19,796	11	11	266,700	1,154,000
464		4,264	11	11	63,100	308,000
480		2,153	11	11	40,300	165,000
483		50,000	II	<b>51</b>	187,700	918,000
484		50,197	н	11	180,800	885,000
488		50,895	11	**	645,700	2,428,000
. 536		101,000	н	11	813,400	1,667,000
537		170,835	n	11	1,396,300	2,759,000
808		1,052	n	11	22,700	85,000
879	•	13,163	11	11	88,800	152,000
897		2,460	n	н	_	60,000
DG-12		4,127	n	11	58,700	266,000
DT-38		4,127	. "	П	7,200	29,000
DT-39		4,127	n	*1	31,400	57,000
DT-41		•			15,900	65,000
628		1,800	It	11	12,900	24,000
697		2,200	11	et	5,000	22,000
698		1,000	11	11	2,500	11,000
932		24,300	11	. 10	427,000	2,350,000
924		8,000	11	<b>\$1</b>	37,500	52,000
18		19,500	11	91	147,400	721,000
373		14,200	11	81	93,400	491,000
		1,940,604			\$22,586,200.	\$103,967,000.

				In		
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	Usable	Demo.
				**********		
15. Airport						
" ~	60 750	<b>c</b>	62 223 222			•
#3	63,750	\$ 660,392	\$3,231,000	x		
#61 #132	28,100 225	543,000 2,524	13,000			
#132 #165	360	3,600	14,000			
#455	700	36,000	179,000			
#456	730°	36,000	179,000			
#457	25,669	153,892	666,000			
#481	830	14,000	66,000		•	
#509	5,600	16,000	74,000			
#360	640	2,500	11,000			
#71 <b>1</b>	3,350	29,310	53,000			
#818	708	38,548	68,000			
#822	700	37,300	69,000			 
#823	. 180	12,700	24,000			٠
#884	6,900	230,000	376,000			-
#885 #890	4,900 384	112,000 11,500	182,000 18,000	x		
#090	204	11,300	10,000	^		
	143,726	\$1,939,266	\$5,223,000			
	•					• ,
16. National	1 Guard					
		<b>A</b> 655 030	62 217 222			
#4	63,750	\$ 677,912	\$3,317,000	X		
#5 #6	63,750	676 <b>,</b> 930	3,312,000			•
#6 #11	63,750 76,080	657,545 1,061,079	3,217,000 3,414,000			
#97	3,111	50,000	245,000			
#99	1,377	18,408	90,000			
#101	1,300	15,000	73,000			•
#103	10,300	158,000	772,000			
#105	200	4,800	24,000	•		
#129	225	2,524	13,000			
#130	225	2,524	13,000		•	
#131	225	2,524	13,000	•		
#139	192	6,022	29,000			
#140	192	6,022	29,000		•	
#141	1,400	23,100	113,000 76,000			
#142 #155	1,400 21,670	17,000 201,555	758,000			
#618	960	4,832	9,000		•	•
#619	960	7,460	15,000	ű		
#626	600	12,391	24,000	×		
						•
	311,667	\$3,648,828	\$15,556,000			

## BUILDING INVENTORY - DAVISVILLE - TOTALS

Category		S.F.	Acq.	Replace.
1.		224,878	\$2,467,396	\$10,614,000
2.		<b>-</b>	<del>-</del> ,	-
3.		28,890	829,380	2,275,000
4.	•	259,538	4,702,629	11,272,000
5.		162,000	90,000	459,000
6.		104,361	1,714,345	4,466,000
7.		9,218	167,650	691,000
8.		18,630	199,186	1,186,000
9.	· ·	469,650	2,659,777	9,739,000
10.			-	·
11.		15,982	615,870	2,557,000
12.		2,097,401	10,608,821	44,837,000
13.	4,500 L.F.	(Berth)		
:		980,000	7,910,347	35,899,000
		4,370,548	\$31,965,411	\$123,995,000

	•			In		
<u>Category</u>	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	Usable	Demo.
l. Administr	ation, Of	fices	,			
			•			
#101	163,857	\$1,344,040	\$8,001,000	•	x	
A-78	1,800	3,500	21,000			x
A-129	2,900	5,000	30,000		x	
A-130	2,900	5,000	30,000		x	
A-133	2,900	5,000	30,000			x
S-6	3,100	33,300	198,000		4	×
S-8	4,700	49,000	292,000		•	×
S-32	4,600	58,424	348,000			x
W-5	7,100	46,400	262,000			×
W-319	1,200	40,000	110,000	•		x
#404	18,021	713,732	1,020,000		x	
#395	7,800	108,600	180,000			x
#397	4,000	55,400	92,000			x 4
•	004 070	+0 467 206	*10 614 000			
	224,878	\$2,467,396	\$10,614,000			
3. Dining Fa	cilities,	Food Handlin	ng & Storage			•
#C100	24,072	\$805,745	\$2,134,000	•	×	•
#212	4,818	23,635	141,000	-		×
						••
	28,890	\$829,380	\$2,275,000			
4. Barracks						
C-101	21,702	\$ 402,809			x	
C-102	21,702	405,732	1,075,000		X	
C-103	21,702	405,732	1,075,000	•	×	
C-104	21,702	405,809	1,075,000		x	*
C-105	21,702	405,809	1,075,000		<b>x</b>	
C-106	21,702	413,310	1,095,000		x	
C-107	21,702	360,531	955,000		× X	
C-108 C-109	21,702	351,992	932,000		x x	
#391	21,702 32,110	351,589 598,163	931,000 992,000			
#392	32,110	598,163	992,000		x x	
#392	32,110	390,103			Α.	
	259,538	\$4,702,639	\$11,272,000			
5. Housing,	Quarters				•	
D264-D272						×
		_			•	ė.
9 Buildings @	18,000	10,000	51,000	1		х
	162,000	\$90,000	\$459,000	•		•
	102,000	ن د د و د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	4-22,000			

				In		
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use	Usable	Demo.
					<del></del>	
6. Recreati	.on		•	·		
#108	10,453	\$ 59 <b>,</b> 566	\$ 319,000	x		
#236	924	5,792	15,000			x
#237	2,863	13,500	72,000			x
AH-2A	6,328	28,951	155,000			x
C-114	30,000	450,277	1,193,000		x	
C-115	31,314	498,164	1,320,000		×	
E-112	_	56,294	153,000		×	
S-89	6 <b>,</b> 758	48,301	259,000		•	×
#379	9,298	336,063	595,000		x	
#380	6,423	217,437	385,000		x	
	104,361	\$1,714,345	\$4,466,000			
•	101,301	Q1,714,343	74,400,000			<u>y</u> .
7. Miscella	neous			,		
#247 <i>(</i> 1) 2	2 430		6165 000			•
#341 Chapel S-16 Fire Sta.	2,418	\$ 79,310	\$165,000			x
5-10 fire Sta.	6,800	88,340	526,000	•		x
•	9,218	\$167,650	\$691,000			
		•	•			
8. Navy Exc	hange			•	•	
#102	18,630	\$199,186	\$1,186,000			×
# <b>102</b>	10,050	Ψ <b>1</b> 22 <b>,</b> 100	Q1,100,000			
9. Classroom	ms, Instruc	tion Bldg.,	Small Shops			
# <b>17</b> 7	E 710	CE1 775	6200 000			
#27 #31	5,712	\$51,775	\$308,000		4	x
#32	4,200 4,720	22,000 13,850	125,000			X
#44	5,587	27,356	78,000 155,000	•		X
#47	5,587	25,381	144,000			x x
#48	5,587	25,808	146,000			x
#56	1,000	5,857	31,000			x
#58	1,000	11,000	63,000			x
#59	1,000	10,000	60,000			x
#60	1,000	10,000	60,000			x
#62	1,000	10,000	61,000			x
#63	4,100	12,000	64,000	*		x
#69	4,000	16,456	88,000			x
#106	1,734	12,936	77,000			×
#111	8,870	42,550	233,000		x	
#113	1,000	2,500	15,000			x
#118 #175	7,888	39 <b>,</b> 789	237,000			X
#175 #213	1,668 1,456	5,134 \$ 3,975	29,000			X
π 4 4 3	T1420	\$ 3 <b>,</b> 975	\$ 26,000	•		x

	•				<b>T</b>		
Cot	000	C 10	N ~~ '	Damila da	In	*! <b>-</b>	<b>D</b>
Cat	egory	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	<u>Use</u>	<u>Usable</u>	Demo.
9.	Classrooms	Instructi	on Blda	Small Shops	(continued	13	
•	014551005	, instructi	on brug.,	DMATT DHOPS	(COIICIII aec	.,	
#27	7	5,600	\$ 17,000	\$ 83,000	***		x
#27		6,520	43,063	231,000			×
#28		4,000	13,463	72,000			x
#29		1,000	5,000	27,000			x
#31		8,000	20,665	57,000		×	
#32	4	3,200	28,531	54,000			x
#33	0	1,000	6,900	19,000		•	×
#33	2	1,000	4,900	24,000		,	X .
#34	3	1,810	34,049	83,000			x
#34	4	1,447	23,126	57,000			x
#34	5	820	19,030	46,000			×
#36	5	4,000	32,000	61,000			x
#36		4,331	22,052	42,000			x :
#37		8,000	101,617	187,000			×
#37		8,372	97,534	179,000	•		×
#37	2	5,100	44,720	82,000			x
#37	4	1,000	5,760	11,000	•		x
A-1	OCT	470	2,481	15,000		x	
A-1.		4,000	13,000	76,000			x
A-1:		4,000	13,000	76,000			x
A-6		1,000	3,500	21,000		,	ж
A-6		1,000	3,500	21,000		4	×
A-6		280	3,000	16,000			x
A-1.		500	2,273	1,400			x
AH-		1,400	3,193	17,000			×
AH-		7,483	7,014	38,000			x
B-1.		4,000	13,000	76,000			x
C-1		21,698	430,260	1,140,000	•	x	
C-1		8,580	65,245	173,000			X :
C-1.		8,367	50,488	137,000			x
C-1:		4,483	25,057	66,000			X
C-1:		5,166	19,166	52,000			X
C-12		4,403	23,941	65,000			×
C-12		4,938	35,056	93,000			X
C-12 C#12		4,280	24,615	65,000			X
C-13		4,000 4,000	26,257 51,752	69,000 140,000			x x
C-13		4,000	22,000	58,000		•	x
D-1		4,000	12,700	76,000			
D-12		4,000	13,000	76,000			×
E-10		7,581	57,400	152,000			
S-9	•	4,000	12,696	76,000			x x
S-10	)	4,000	12,696	76,000			x
S-13		5,600	13,000	77,000			x
S-17		3,097	78,684	479,000			x
S-20		4,000	\$ 13,000	\$ 76,000			X
		•	. , ,	<b>,</b>			

						In		•
Cat	egory	S.F.	Acq.	Rep	lace.	Use	Usable	Demo.
9.	Classrooms,	Instruction	Bldg.,	Small	Shops	(Continued)		
S-2	1	4,000	\$13,000	\$	76,000	Ņ		×
S-2		4,000	13,000		76,000			×
S-2		4,000	13,000		76,000		•	×
S-4		4,495	24,715	1	47,000			×
S-4		4,000	40,449		03,000		<b>x</b> :	
S-4		4,000	12,696		76,000		•*	×
S-8		4,000	6,348		34,000			×
S-8		4,000	6,000		34,000			x
S-8		4,000	6,400		34,000			×
S-8		4,120	7,745		41,000			×
s-1		4,000	15,099		42,000			×
s-1		4,000	10,468		29,000			x
S-1		4,000	9,000		25,000			<b>x</b> }
s-1		4,000	11,520		32,000			x
s-1	•	4,000	9,655		27,000			x
S-1		4,000	9,655		27,000			×
S-1		4,000	9,120		25,000			×
s-1		4,000	9,120		25,000			x
s-1		4,000	9,000		26,000			. <b>x</b>
S-1		4,000	9,000		25,000			x
S-1		4,000	9,120		25,000			x
s-1		4,000	9,120		25,000			x
S-1		4,000	9,679		27,000			×
s-1		4,000	9,655		27,000			x
S-1		4,000	9,679		27,000			· <b>x</b>
S-1		4,000	9,679		27,000			×
s-1		4,000	9,100		25,000			×
S-1		4,000	9,120		25,000			×
S-1	•	4,000	9,789		27,000			x
S-1		4,000	9,000		25,000			×
T-2		4,000	12,700		76,000			×
T-3		4,000	12,700		76,000	•		×
T-4		4,000	16,700	1	.00,000			x
T-5		4,000	12,700		76,000		•	x
T-6		4,000	13,000		76,000		•	х
T-7		4,000	13,000		76,000			X :
T-8		4,000	13,000		76,000			x
T-9		4,000	13,000		76,000			x
T-1		4,000	12,696		76,000			X
T-1		4,000	12,696		76,000			X
T-1		4,000	12,696		76,000			X
T-1		4,300	12,696		76,000			X
T-1		4,000	12,700		76,000			X
T-1	· ·	4,000	12,696		76,000	4		×
T-1	8	4,000	\$13,000	Ş	78,000		•	, <b>x</b>

Catomomi	e T	7.00	Popla	In	Hanble.	Domo
Category	$\underline{s.f.}$	Acq.	Replac	ce. Use	<u>Usable</u>	Demo.
9. Classroom	ns, Instruct	ion Bldg.,	Small Sho	ops (Continued	1)	
T-19	4,000	\$ 12,700	\$ 76	,000		x
#378	1,700	71,177	126	,000		x
#390	4,400	43,000		,000		x
#399	2,000	46,538		,000		x
#408	4,000	15,000		,000		x
#68	6,000	26,700		,000		x
	469,650	\$2,659,777	\$9,739	,000	•	
ll. Heating,	Power Plan	ts				
#103	1,848	\$ 96,546	\$ 588	,000	x	
#210	420	15,000	•	,000	×	,
#225	2,584	72,000		,000	×	••
C-113	6,792	325,524		,000	×	
S-18A	1,200	29,200		,000	x	
S-10A S-29A	1,500	47,400		,000	×	
T-1A	768	16,200		,000	×	
W-3A	600			,000	x	•
W-5A	270	9,000 5,000		,000	×	
W-JA	270			,000	•	
•	15,982	\$615,870	\$2,557	,000	• .	
12. Warehous	es, Storage	, P.W. Gara	ges, Maga	azines		
#18	51,100	\$170,500	\$1,015	,000	x	
#34	53,500	228,000	1,389		x	
#35	51,500	227,200	1,383		x	
#36	50,700	237,100	1,444		x ·	2
#37	51,000	217,000	1,321		×	
#38	50,700	213,900	1,303		×	
#39	50,700	223,900	1,363		×	
#40	50,000	146,848		,000	x	
#41	34,400	145,300		,000	×	
#42	60,000	224,600	1,367		· x	•
#43	13,386	95,092		,000	^	x
#45	11,015	38,384		,000		x
						x
#46	10,517	37,262		,000	v	Α.
#6 <b>4</b>	22,000	55,000		<b>,</b> 000 .	x	v
#67 #218	12,700	63,400		,000		x x
#218	10,492	100,278		,000	v	^
#224	102,000	828,428	4,802		X	
#314	202,000	718,000	1,973		x	
#315	202,000	718,000	1,973,		x	
#316	202,000	718,000	1,973		X	
#317	202,000	\$718,000	\$1,973,	, 000	x	

		_		In	_
Category	S.F.	Acq.	Replace.	Use Usab	le Demo.
12. Warehou	ses, Stor	age, P.W. Ga	rages, Magazines	(continued)	
#318	83,000	\$1,207,00	0 \$3,316,000	x	•
#363	11,900	69,39			x
AB-3	31,000	127,41		x	
C-132	22,000	38,00			×
CD-3	30,400	127,30		x	
E-319	83,000	1,012,00		x	
C-119	11,364	69,60		•	×
S-12	13,000	126,63		x	
S-18	13,900	346,60			x
S-19	20,200	41,30		×	
S-19A	20,200	41,30		<b>.</b>	. v
S-26	20,000	354,91			X
T-1 W-1	22,000	93,00 41,30			<b>x</b> *
W-1 W-2	20,200	43,00			x
W-3	20,200	64,77			X
₩-4	52,000	65,00			×
W-6	37,600	149,90		×	
W-7	36,100	154,60		<b>x</b>	٠.
#410	821	83,05			x
C-126	13,920	77,23	•		×
E-111	20,686	151,31		•	<b>x</b> .
. 2	,097,401	\$10,608,82	1 \$44,837,000		
13. Piers					
#1 (Berth	2,650 33,330	L.F.\$4,671,02 S.Y.	2 \$28,442,000	x x	
#2 (Berth)	1,850 75,560	L.F. 3,239,32 S.Y.	5 7,457,000	x x	
	980,000	S.F.\$7,910,34	7 \$35,899,000		·

FEB 1.1978

COURS IN TOWN CENTER

### TRANSPORTATION

### ROADWAYS

### Existing Roadway Access

Presently, access to the proposed development of Quonset Point-Davisville is through the Davisville-Devil's Foot Roads which run east to west and from Post Road (U.S. Route #1) running north and south. These roads are respectively a two lane highway which traverses a residential area and a four lane highway through a heavily developed commercial area. Traffic counts in this area indicate that approximately 51% of the traffic utilize Davisville and Devil's Foot Roads, 33% from Post Road north and 16% from Post Road south.

These roadways are servicing traffic volumes beyond their capacities, resulting in excessive back-ups and restricted movement of vehicles not only on the main arteries but on the surrounding roadways as well. Such force flow and delays cause great driver discomfort and inconvenience.

Within the Quonset Point-Davisville area the existing tenants have also expressed concern with regard to the vehicular traffic delays experienced with access and egress to the complex.

## Existing Trip Origins

The 1976 trip origins to the Quonset Point-Davisville area are based on actual traffic counts made by the R.I.D.O.T. Planning Division.

The existing facilities located in the proposed development area, comprising approximately 17% of the development area, produce a seven day, two way, average daily traffic of 15,100 vehicles. Of greater concern to us is the workday average daily traffic which, through analysis of the D.O.T. counts, amounts to approximately 18,400 vehicles. Assuming a 50% split, into and out of the area, the one way average daily traffic is 9,200 of the traffic occurs at the morning and evening peak hours. This amounts to 1840 vehicles per design hour competing for the available road space during the critical peak hour periods of ingress and egress.

These are the vehicles presently experiencing the aformentioned bottlenecks and delays.

## Developed and Projected Trip Origins

The total developable area of the Quonset-Davisville area is approximately 1,300 acres. Of this area approximately 250 acres are presently occupied, 150 acres by General Dynamics, Electric Boat Division and 100 acres by temporary oil related service companies.

As the area is further developed the Quonset Point Airport will also become a trip generator into the Quonset Pt. Technical Park.

Trip generation, to a great degree, is based on the anticipated land use of the area. For the purpose of this trip generation analysis a general appraoch will be used based on occupied areas compared to the total anticipated developable area.

The total occupied area of 250 acres generates 9,200 work day trip origins. Electric Boat estimates they alone generate approximately 4,000 of these trip origins. The total 250 acres occupied, applied to the 9,200 work day trip origins, yield a trip generation factor of 36.8 trip origin per acre. The trip generation factor for the Electric Boat area, of 150 acres applied to 4,000 trip origins, yields 28.6 trip origins per acre. These trip generation factors are quite high for technical park, therefore, it is assumed that the remaining acreage will generate trips at one-half the average of these two generating factors or 16.5 trip origins per remaining gross acreage.

The remaining 1,050 acres of developable area will then generate 20,625 trip origins per work day. The existing 9,200 trip origins plus the projected 20,625 trip origins yield a projected daily one way traffic volume of 29,825 for 30,000 trip origins, one way, to the proposed Quonset-Davisville complex by the year 1996.

The present peak hour traffic volume, 20% of the existing one-way A.D.T. work day volume, is based primarily on one major generator. As more and varied generators locate within the complex with staggered work hours this peak hour factor could drop to approximately 14%. Thus the projected 1996 one way A.D.T. would yield 4,200 vehicles per peak hour competing for the available roadway space or an increase of 128% over the existing peak hour traffic.

No additional growth factors are applied to these volumes because of the very real possibility that commuter services in the form of rail and/or bus mass transit systems will be afforded the complex. The rail commuter service between Providence and Quonset-Davisville could be implemented with the proposed high speed northeast corridor rail service, now being studied, and can be scheduled between the high speed service.

With the before mentioned traffic problems associated with the existing traffic on the existing roadways coupled with the projected traffic volumes it is apparent that an improved major access be developed. The desirability of sites at the proposed technical park, to a great extent, will depend on adequate primary access to the area for motor vehicles.

### Proposed Primary Access Corridor

The need for a primary access route to the Quonset Point-Davisville area from a major highway of the state roadway network is apparent. The anticipated traffic volumes could not be accommodated on the local or primary route systems of the adjacent area without causing a complete breakdown of traffic on these systems.

Route 4, with its connection to Interstate 95 to the north and the proposed connection to Route 138 and Interstate 95 to the south, is considered the best location on the state highway system for the primary access road to connect.

The location of the primary route connection at Route 4 falls between the Frenchtown Road and Route 2 interchanges. A general corridor is shown, as a shaded area, on a plan entitled "Primary Access Corridor". Within this corridor several alternates were reviewed.

The primary access route may take the form of an arterial along the widened existing Davisville and Devil's Foot Roads, a freeway concept entirely on new location or a combination of these.

Along with the proposed primary access the existing at grade intersection access to the Quonset-Davisville complex should be improved and maintained to serve much of the Post Road traffic. This access point would also serve as a relief valve should the primary access road experience delays.

Much more in-depth study, along with an environmental impact statement, would be required before a preferred roadway alignment can be determined.

#### TRANSPORTATION

#### AIRPORT FACILITY

### General Description

Quonset State Airport borders along the easterly limits of the proposed Quonset Point Technical Park. By virtue of its location, the airport affords a major attraction for industry. Business is relying increasingly on air transportation because of the advantages it offers in speed, and flexibility of operations. In essence, the proposed technical park may well be identified as an airport industrial park designed to integrate air transportation into the industrial operations and offering maximum use of air transportation in the movement of personnel and products.

Quonset State Airport is classified as a General Aviation Airport performing under daylight operations. By definition, general aviation flying encompasses all civil flying except that by air carriers. Relative to general aviation, bus iness flying represents the use of aircraft as a transportation vehicle. Company-owned aircraft are used to transport executives, sales personnel, technicians and components from plant to plant and to customer locations, thereby saving time and enabling greater coverage of marketing areas.

Land uses to be permitted will be influenced by the height limitations for structures required for the safe operation of aircraft in the approach zone of runways and in the general vicinity of the airport. For a General Aviation Airport, the navigable airspace or the imaginary surfaces which are the control parameters for structure height limitations are defined in "Part 77 - Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace" of the Federal Aviation Regulations and are shown on Transportation Plan 4. These regulations tend to encourage horizontal or lateral structures rather than vertical development of structures, thereby creating an aesthetically pleasing low profile industrial development. These regulations are a useful guide in the establishing of specific zoning ordinances.

#### Physical Plan of Airport

The airport property occupies approximately 650 acres containing four (4) runways with supporting taxiways and aircraft parking areas. The airfield besides operating as a general aviation airport will also be used jointly by the Air National Guard.

Runway 16-34, the main runway, is 200 feet wide by 8,000 feet long oriented northwesterly. Runway 5-23 is 150 feet wide and 4000 feet long oriented northeastely. These are the only runways which will be operative at present. Runway 1-19 oriented due north is 150 feet wide by 4000 feet long and will be temporarily inoperative.

Runway 10-28 will be rendered inactive and will be utilized as a taxiway and helicopter parking area.

With the expected growth of military operations and the future growth of air transport resulting from the development of the technical park, runway 16-34 will become a precision instrument runway while runway 5-23 will remain a general aviation runway. Activation of runway 1-19 will likely occur during this growth period.

#### TRANSPORTATION

### RAILROAD FACILITIES



## Availability of Service

According to the Final System Plan of the United States Railway Association (U.S.R.A.), rail service will be provided to the rail yard at West Davisville. From this location all rail distribution will be provided by Seaview Railroad Co., Inc. to the Quonset Point Technical Park.

## Quonset/Davisville Rail System

## Capabilities of Rail Feeder Lines

The existing rail system and all feeder lines are licensed by the Navy to the State of Rhode Island Port Authority which in turn leases the rail system to Seaview Railroad Co., Inc.

Any transporting of goods on this rail system will be accomplished by Seaview Railroad through separate contracts with present and future businesses located at Quonset/Davisville.

Seaview Railroad intends to expand its services as required to meet the needs of the anticipated growth of the Quonset Point Technical Park.

#### Condition of Rail Feeder Lines

The condition of the present track system is good and is considered to be a Class 1 and 2 under Federal Railroad Administration Track Safety Standards.

Funding for necessary improvements to the rail system is sponsored by the Department of Commerce (Title 10) and New England Regional Council. Grants in the amount of \$45,000.00 for 1976 and \$30,000.00 for 1977. This funding encompasses only labor costs for rehabilitating existing trackage.

#### Recommended Improvements/Extensions of Rail System

At the present time three rail systems are recommended to service different areas depending on the scenario. However, according to Seaview Railroad Co., Inc. lease agreement, the total footage of track cannot be reduced. Also, any and all improvements or new tracks will be performed by Seaview Railroad Co., Inc. under force account work.

#### TRANSPORTATION

#### OCEAN PORT DEVELOPMENT

### I. EXISTING PIER/WHARF CONDITIONS

The following design data is based on information from Construction Drawings provided by the Port Authority.

- A. Quonset Carrier Pier #495
  - 1. Concrete on piers is 3000 lbs. per square inch
  - 2. Live load on piers is 400 lbs. per square foot
  - 3. Allowable Stresses
    Concrete 1000 lbs. per square inch
    Steel 20,000 lbs. per square inch
  - 4. Impact: 15% of wheel loads on beams 10% of wheel loads on girders
- B. Davisville Marginal Wharf
  - The rigid pavement (concrete) live load is 1000 lbs. per square foot
  - 2. The flexible pavement (asphalt) live load is 600 lbs. per square foot
- C. Davisville Pier #1
  - Live load is 600 lbs. per square foot at 40 foot width each side of pier
  - 2. Live load is 500 lbs. per square foot at 170 foot center section of pier.
- D. Davisville Pier #2
  - 1. The rigid pavement (concrete) live load is 1000 lbs. per square foot
  - 2. The flexible pavement (asphalt) live load is 600 lbs. per square foot.

### II. CHANNEL DEPTHS/RAIL FACILITIES

- A. The Channel depths to the Quonset/Davisville piers and wharf are approximately 30 feet depending on silting which has taken place.
- B. In the vicinity of the Quonset Pier there exists a turn around basin of approximately 12 million square feet.
- C. Unloading facilities are available and are adequate for the present needs.
- D. Rail facilities are available to all the piers/wharf and are in good condition.

#### III. ADJACENT SHORE DEVELOPMENT

A. Quonset Carrier Pier
This pier adjoins the present sewerage facility on one side and land occupied by Electric Boat on the other.

B. Davisville Piers/Wharf
The land on each side of these piers are considered
"sensitive" areas in terms of wildlife and wetlands
and at present, with the exception of the possible
development of additional bulkhead facilities, this land
will not be disturbed.

## IV. SUPPORTING LAND FACILITIES

The land area adjacent to the piers are suitably supplied with rail and storage facilities (some of which consist of 120,000 square feet).

It is also noted that a major portion of the land area is paved.

### V. PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

The development of additional bulkhead and piers at Davisville is reflected on two scenarios plans and the rationale for the extent of development is described in the scenario texts.

AND THE STATE OF T

Site Utilities IV

# WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM



The existing water supply for Quonset Point/Davisville consists of a series of gravel packed wells with a total delivery capacity of 4.75 M.G.D. (million gallons daily). The water distribution system consisting of several miles of piping is particularly well gridded and has ample size supporting transmission mains. Storage for this system is provided with an approximate balance of underground and elevated water storage totaling 4.65 M.G. Most of the well pumping stations and underground reservoirs contain auxiliary power that can maintain the system in operation for extended power failures.

Records and reports of Quonset Pt/Davisville indicate that when in full operation in 1971, the average daily water consumption was 3.22 M.G.D.; maximum daily usage for the Quonset Pt. Technical Park would be approximately two times this amount, or 6.44 M.G.D.

Of particular interest at the present time is the future water consumption when Quonset Pt. has changed to a commercial, industrial, and recreational related use. To do this on a preliminary basis, several assumptions were made. Also, analysis of existing metered facilities were reviewed and used as a guide for future water use where no other data was available.

Water usage for industrial areas was computed on the basis of 2,000 gallons per acre per day, office related areas were determined on the basis of 1000 gallons per acre per day. Storage areas and the new piers at Davisville were allotted water usage on the basis of the best estimate or in the case of the new piers, estimates were obtained from NERBC-RALI report for oil company support facilities.

At General Dynamics and the golf course whose water usage has been reasonably established, actual metered quantities were used for the purposes of this report.

The following table lists each of the proposed industrial areas of development and other activities that will remain unchanged.

TABLE 1 FUTURE WATER USAGE

<u>Area #</u> ****	Acres	Activity	Average Water Use Based on 2000 Gal/ Acre/Day except As Noted
1	60		120,000
2	40		80,000
3	90		180,000
4	120	·	240,000 -
5	235		470,000
6		Storage	100,000*
2 3 4 5 6 7	213	Golf Course	500,000**
8	68		68,000***
8 9	68		136,000
10	176		352,000
11		General Dynamics	100,000**
12	40		80,000
13	85		170,000
14	100		200,000
15		New Piers @ Davisville	300,000*
	1295		3,096,000 M.G.D.

<sup>\*</sup> Estimated Because of Indeterminate Function \*\* Based on Metered Usage

Note: The total 3,096,000 M.G.D. represents the projected average daily flow requirement.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Based on 1000 Gallons/Day/Acre
\*\*\*\* Numbered areas were designated for use in determining water computations

Based on the total average daily flow from Table 1 of 3,096,000 M.G.D., maximum daily usage would be twice this amount or 6,198,000 M.G.D. Fire flow requirements could vary considerably depending on the particular activity or industry that will plan to locate at Quonset Pt.

Fire protection for Quonset/Davisville is in most instances provided by well spaced hydrants connecting to properly sized water transmission and distribution piping. The requirements for fire fighting water supply vary a great deal throughout the service area. They may vary from 750 gallons per minute in the present residential areas to 5,000 G.P.M. or 6,000 G.P.M. at more hazardous locations such as the new pier and bulkhead area. In general, however, it is anticipated that fire protection of about 3,000 G.P.M. would be adequate to control most fires for a duration of 3 to 4 hours.

The present criteria for fire flow requires, that under maximum daily flow conditions, a fire flow of (say) 3,000 G.P.M. will not deplete the distribution storage by more than one third.

This would work in the following manner:

Input to the system from wells is approximately 2,975± G.P.M. Output from the system during maximum day would be 4,200± G.P.M. plus a fire flow of 3,000± G.P.M. Input minus output equals the delpletion rate, or in this case, 4,225± G.P.M. The total depletion of distribution storage during a 3-hour fire would be about 760,000 gallons. The existing distribution storage is 4.65 M.G. One-third of this quantity is 1.55 M.G.

Therefore, the depletion of storage experienced during a fire requiring a flow of 3,000 G.P.M. would only be about one-sixth of the quantity available.

The foregoing information has been provided as an example of the overall system capability. The requirements of each potential industry at the multitude of available locations within the site will require individual analysis. This type of computerized hydraulic analysis is beyond the scope of this report and since specific industrial data is not available, the results would be subject to inaccuracy because of the lack of the data.

With this in mind some broad general statements can be made about the water supply distribution and storage system. Each of these three segments of the water system, although somewhat separate in nature, are totally interrelated.

The water supply system, although high in iron and manganese,

does not constitute a health hazard and is adequate to serve the foreseeable demands placed upon the system by industrial development at Quonset Point.

The water distribution system is a well reinforced system with the exception of some minor side streets and dead ends.

Water mains have been sized large enough to handle large demands and fires, and in most instances, water mains have been looped to provide greater support. Individual industry requirements will in some instances outstrip the distribution system's capability to furnish water; however, minor water main extensions should be able to correct most water distribution problems.

Water storage for Quonset Point is provided with underground and above-ground storage totaling 4.65 M.G. This storage is considered to be adequate for the future growth of Quonset as an industrial development.

It should be pointed out that fire protection for high hazard areas such as the new pier areas, or areas that might store fuel oil, may require quantities of water that cannot be supplied by the distribution system. In situations such as this the use of a salt water pumping for fire protection may be contemplated. This should be evaluated at the time the need for such high fire flow arises.



#### PROPOSED SANITARY SEWERAGE SYSTEM

The area designated for the proposed wastewater treatment plant is bordered by Roger Williams Way on the west, 2nd Street on the south, Lincoln Street on the north and Richmond Street on the east. All sewage flows collected at manhole located at the intersection of 2nd Street and Roger Williams Way (see Sheet No.8) will be diverted to the proposed treatment plant by gravity. All other sewage flowing to the existing wastewater treatment plant will be pumped by the proposed pumping station. The plan consists of extending the existing 14 inch force main to the proposed wastewater treatment plant and making use of the existing force main.

The proposed sanitary facilities to service the Davisville Road area from Roger Williams Way to the pier areas consists of a pumping station, force main and interceptor sewer along Davisville Road. The capacity of the pumping station and interceptor will also accommodate sanitary pumping facilities from ships docking at the piers.

#### EXISTING SANITARY SEWERAGE SYSTEM

The existing sewerage system in Quonset consists of gravity sewers, pumping stations, force mains and primary treatment plant. Sewers located in the Administration Triangle on the east side of Davisville Road and the area encompassed by Camp Avenue, "B" street, Davisville Road and Roger Williams Way flow by gravity to the main pumping station. The sewage is then pumped through a 14" force main directly to the treatment plant. A small lift station is also located in this area.

The personal support area and the Kiefer Park area sewers flow by gravity to the treatment plant. This area also includes two pumping stations.

The West Davisville and the Davisville pier areas are served by separate systems consisting of septic tanks and leaching fields.

# STEAM AND GAS DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND GAS DISTRIBUTION SYS

## Steam

The existing steam plant will continue to service the needs of General Dynamics and other present occupants at Quonset/Davisville. It is noted that this plant is very inefficient and should be abandoned. It is recommended that all future development at Quonset/Davisville be serviced by individual heating systems divorced of the present steam plant.

#### Gas

The existing gas system is small and limited to servicing the Davisville area. Gas did service the now abandoned Kiefer Park. Providence Gas Company has assured us that they can provide the quantity of gas needed for future development. However, no gas lines will be extended to service new development unless the demands of the new development is such as to be economically beneficial to the Providence Gas Company.

Therefore, it is anticipated that the only costs for steam and gas will be that required to serve the individual land parcels.

#### STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM

A comprehensive storm drainage system presently encompasses the entire project area and is shown on sheet No. 9. In the proposed development of the various areas, it is expected that the existing facilities be utilized to the fullest extent possible. It is noted, however, that in some areas there are broken and blocked piping and structures. Depending upon the specific land use requirements and the geometric concept of each area to be developed, it is apparent that the removal and/or abandonment of the system may be necessary.

In accordance with the dictates of topography and grading at each site, re-routing of existing discharge lines in addition to new installations to adequately accommodate the surface water runoff will be necessarry.

## ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

Quonset Point/Davisville area is presently served by the Narragansett Electric Company. Two distribution systems are installed. One owned by the Rhode Island Port Authority (Navy) and the other by Narragansett Electric Company.

It is Narragansett Electric Company's intention to purchase the state owned distribution system which receives its source from Narragansett Electric Company.

The area is served by multiple 115 KV transmission lines. Distribution on the former Air station is 34.5 KV with a limited 12.470 and 4.160 KV overhead and underground network.

Narragansett Electric Company states at this time there is ample electric power available from the 115 KV and 34 KV system. Ten miles to the north, at the Drum Rock Substation, 345KV is also available.

Narragansett Electric Company plans are to furnish any size load required. Depending on its size, an extension of the 34.5 KV will be made, or an extension of the 115 KV with a 115 KV/34.5 KV substation to be constructed at Quonset/Davisville near the required loads. Narragansett Electric Company indicates only normal service charges would be made for standard installations. All costs for service to Quonset/Davisville will be borne by Narragansett Electric Company.

## COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Communication systems serving the present occupants at Quonset/Davisville are good. New England Telephone Company will provide additional service to future development when the demand arises

## FIRE ALARM SYSTEM

The existing fire alarm system is adequate to serve the present occupants. However, a more modern fire alarm system is necessary to serve the needs of future development. It is our understanding that the State of Rhode Island is presently considering a new fire alarm system. Therefore, assuming they will implement this new system prior to this site development, the cost of this system has not been included in this contract.

## ADDITIONAL STUDIES

#### SURFICIAL GEOLOGY OF THE SITE

The material generally encountered throughout the site consists of the gray-brown poorly graded sands, the gravelly sands with little or no fines and the silty sands and sand-silt mixtures. Frequently, these soils are interspersed with the fine grained inorganic silts and inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity.

Ledge outcropping is evident at the knolls west of Fry's Pond. More detailed soils exploration data is available from borings taken in the Kiefer Park area. Six borings were taken. The material encountered was a brown-gray fine to coarse sand interspersed with fine and fine to medium gravel and traces of silt. Most of the upper layers are dry or moist, dense to medium dense with blow counts ranging from 15 to 40. The upper two (2) foot layer of soil consists of a dry, loose topsoil and fill material. Very dense material was encountered at those borings indicating refusal.

Water levels were recorded at depths from five (5) to nine (9) feet below ground surface.

Within the Air National Guard property which lies west of the airport, two borings were taken. The material encountered was a brown, brown-gray fine to coarse sand overlaying a 3'-6" to 5'-6" layer of dark brown fibrous peat. Below this peat, the material was a gray-brown fine to coarse sand with silt traces. Blow counts for the material above the peat ranged from 6 to 16 indicative of a loose to medium dense soil. Below the peat layer, blow counts ranged from 17 to 46 indicating a medium dense to dense soil.

Water levels were recorded at depths of four (4) and nine (9) feet below ground surface.

Based upon the blow counts indicated above the soils encountered are adequate to sustain the anticipated loadings of an industrial development. Where peat and other low load bearing soils are evident, special foundation design concepts are to be considered.

BORINGS TAKEN AT

AIR NATIONAL GUARD

SITE

T(	Fen	ton G. Keye AME Propose	S & A d Mai	TREET Associated	E	AST P	ADDRESS	E, R I Prov Quon	idence, R.I. set Point, R		SHEET DATE HOLE NO LINE & STA. OFFSET	Вн	-1	
RE SA	EPORT SE AMPLES S	NT TO	a Di	1			PR	ROJ. NO JR JOB NO	72-553		SURF. ELEV.			
		OUND WATER OBSE	RVATIO	ONS irs	Rods – Type Size I.D. Hammer Hammer	riA₩i i Wt.	CASING H/S Auger	SAMPLE: \$/\$ 1 3/8! 140# 30!!	R CORE BAR	START COMPLETE FOTAL HRS. IORING FORE NSPECTOR SOILS ENGR.	MAN P.		sclé	0.п рл ол рл
	LOCATIO	N OF BORING											•	1
DEPTH	Casing Blows per	Sample Depths	Type of Sample	From	lows per (	ir To	Moisture Density or Consist.	Strata Change Elev.	SOIL IDENTI Remarks include soil etc. Rock-col ness, Drilling time.	color, gradat	ition, hard-	<u></u>	AMP	
	f001	0'-1'6"	D	29			Wet very dense	LIEV.	1' Frost & fine SAND, trace of fi	Loam - B	rown t,	ī		18'
		51-61611	D	7	8	8	Wet medium dense		Brown Gray SAND, littl gravel - Fi	e silt &		2	189	10'
		101-111611	D	6	4	3	Moist medium stiff	9'6"	Dark Brown trace of fi		PEAT,	3	18	15'
		15'-16'6''	D	13	12	15	Wet medium dense	15' 18'	Gray Brown some silt	fine SAN	D,	4	189	1141
		20'-21'6"	D	6	6	11	Moist medium dense	22*5"	Brown fine little silt			5	181	יל י
		221511-2311	'' D	28	17	26	Moist dense	23'11"	Brown F-C S gravel, lit Bottom of			6	18	· 8·
											,			
													1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
I .	GROUND mple Typ	SURFACE TO	20		Proportion	_	1/S/A_"C		THEN	to 23 1		SUMM	ARY:	
D=C UP: TP:	Ory C=Co Undisturb Test Pit	pred W=Washed Ded Piston A=Auger V=Van Ded Thinwall	e Test	1 li 5	race ( ittle !i	0 to 10 % 0 to 20 % 0 to 35 % 5 to 50 °	Cohesia 0-1	onless Dens	bity   Cohesive Cons e	sistency oft 30 + 1 Stiff Stiff	Eorth	Boring Coring	23' '-6	

RE SA	PORT SEN	IT TO	<u>abo</u>	ve			I PR	O.I. NO	72-553	OFFSET _ SURF. ELE	V	<u>.</u>
¥	GROU 6 1 2 11	und water obse	RVATIC Hou	NS rs		Wt.	CASING H/S Auger	SAMPLER S/S 1 3/8" 140# 30"	CORE BAR.	Dote  START 2/23/7 COMPLETE 2/23/7 TOTAL HRS. BORING FOREMAN P. INSPECTOR BO SOILS ENGR.	Z Z Bres	
L	OCATIO	N OF BORING:		<b>J</b>	Tionine					SOLES ESTAT.		
E L	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths	Type of Somple	From	ows per l Sample	r To	Maisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	Remarks includes	TIFICATION e color, gredation, Type olor, type, condition, hard e, seams and etc.	of No.	SA
		01-11611	D	20		26	Moist very dense	4'6"		- Brown fine SAN silt & fine -	D, 1	
		51-61611	D	6	3	3	Moist loose	81611		e to coarse SAND It, trace of fin		+
		12'-13'6"		4	5	5 26	Wet stiff Wet	12"	fine Sand gravel	PEAT mixed wit	3	
		151-1616"		13	11	11	dense Wet medium dense			n fine to coarse e fine to medium ttle silt		
		20'-21'6"	D	17	14	15	. 11	211611	Silt, trac	own fine SAND & e of fine grave Boring 21'6"	6	
												<u> </u>
									,	•		+
												+
-		SURFACE TO	15				H/S/A"		HEN	5' Spoon to 21'		E

BORINGS TAKEN AT
KIEFER PARK

T(	Fen	Americ 100 WA aton G. Keyes AME Quonset	TER S' Asso Pt.I	TREET	E	AST PR	ROVIDENC	E, R. I.	ence, RI Kingstown, RI	DATE HOLE NO LINE & STA.	ВН	-1	
RE	PORT SEN	NT TO above								OFFSET SURF. ELEV.			
SA		ENT TO					l ou	R JOB NO.	72-030	Date		ime	
	9'-6 casin	UND WATER OBSE  "" after 1/ g@30"  after after	2 Hou	rs	Rods Type Size I.D. Hammer		CASING BW 2½" 300#	SAMPLE S/S 1-3/8 140#	TOTAL BORING	T 7/2/76 ETE 7/2/76 . HRS. G FOREMAN A. I	 <u></u>		
<u> </u>		-		1	Hammer	Fall	24"		SOILS	ENGR.			
		N OF BORING:		<del></del>							Ţ		
DEPTH	Cosing Blows per	Sample Depths From – To	Type of Sample	From	lows per 6	ir To	Moisture Density or	Strata Change	SOIL IDENTIFICAT Remarks include color, soil etc. Rock-color, typ	gradation, Type of e, condition, hard-	_	SAMP	т
H	foot 6	0'-1'-6"		0-6	6-12			Elev.	ness, Drilling time, sean		No.	_	Rec
	7	010	D	1_	2	2	dry loose	2'-0"	Dark brown TOPS		1	10	12"
	31											$oxed{\Box}$	-
	40 42						dry		Brown fine SAND	.some silt,			<u> </u>
	29	5'- 6'-6"	D	16	18	21	dense		Little fine gra		2	18'	11"
	53 110			ļ	<del> </del>			8'-0"				+_	
	47											二	
	32 12	10'-11'-6"	D	12	13	15	wet medium		Gray fine to me	dium SAND.	3	18'	10"
	17						dense		Little silt	<b></b>			
-	21 31		ļ		<del> </del>		1				<u> </u>	┼─	<del> </del>
	34							15'-0"		·			
	22 42	15'-16'-6"	D	15	21	31	wet		Brown fine SAND	,some silt	4	18'	12"
	57						very dense	18'-0"					
	103						moist				<u> </u>	<b>—</b>	<u> </u>
	160 28	20'-21'6"	D	55	62	58			Dark gray fine	SAND, some	3	18'	12"
	30						dense	23'-0"	silt & fine gra	vel, Till			
	68 46				<del> </del>			230			┼─	-	1
	39						wet medium		Gray-brown fine	to coarse	Ļ	- 01	
.	50 · 60	25'-26'-6"	D	12	13	13	dense	271-011	SAND, Some silt,	some fine	6_	18	12"
	74							2/*-0	Gravel Gray fine SAND 8	c e 11+		<b> </b>	<u> </u>
	80 83						wet		Trace fine grave			<del> </del>	<del> </del>
		30'-31'-6"		46	66	103	very dense	31'-6"		•	7	18"	10"
		@31'-6"	DX	102/	0''		denoe.		Refusal-Bot.ofBo	ring 31'-6"		<del>                                     </del>	-
<b> </b>					-								
								OX open	end AW rod 140#-30	)''			
}			<del></del>									┼╌┤	├
													Ŀ
<u>Sa</u> D={ UP	mple Typ Dry C=Co = Undisturb	SURFACE TO  pred W=Washed  bed Piston A=Auger V=Van  bed Thinwall		1	Proportio trace little i some 2	USED _ ons Use O to 10% 10 to 20% 20 to 35% 35 to 50%	d   14 %   Cohesi %   0 - %   10 - %   30 -	40lb Wt.x 3 onless Den	se 0-4 Soft ense 4-8 M/Stiff	cy Earth	SUMN Borin Corin oles _	MARY: 19 _3 19 _7	11'6

					_		ring Go	-			SHEET.			
							ROVIDENC				HOLE NO			
TO	Fer	ton G. Keyes	Asse	oc.			ADDRESS	Provide	ence,RI	<del></del>	LINE & STA.			
PF	ROJECT N	AME Quonset	Pt.Fa	acili	ties	Plan				. 1.	OFFSET			
		NT TO	ve				PR	OJ. NO	72-030		SURF. ELEV.		,	
	MPLES 3	DENT TO					100	R JOB NO.			Date	Ti		
	GRO	OUND WATER OBSE	RVATIO	ONS	Rods	-AW	CASING	.SAMPLE	R CORE BAR.		7/6/76	ئىنى ،		a.r
At_	<u>9'-6</u>	" after 1/2	Hou	rs	Type	****	BW	s/s		START COMPLETE	-141-4		<del></del>	0.r p.r o.r p.r
					Size I. D.		2111	1-3/8"	-	TOTAL HR	s		<del>_</del>	
At_		after	Ноц	ırs	Hommer		300#	140#	_ BIT	BORING FOI	REMAN A.D	'Ai	<u>el</u> ]	0
1	•			İ	Hammer	Fall	24"	30''		SOILS ENG				
ı	OCATIO	N OF BORING:												
	Casing	Sample	Туре	B	ows per (	6"	Moisture	T	SOIL IDEA	ITIFICATION				==
ОЕРТН	Blows	Depths	of		Sample		Density	Strata	Remarks include	de color, grad	ation, Type of	S	AMP	LE
DE	per	From- To	Sample	From	1 6 13	To	or Consist.	Change Elev.	soil etc. Rock- ness, Drilling tir	color, type, co ne. seams an	ndition, hard- d etc.	No.	Pen	Red
-	foot	0'-1'-6"	<u> </u>					Elev.	<del> </del>			1	18"	<del></del>
	3	0 -1 -0	D	1	1	2	dry loose	2'-0"	Dark brown	TOLOUTE		-	10	<del> </del>
	12	1	<del>                                     </del>	<b>†</b>		<del>                                     </del>	JUUSE	<u> </u>		<del></del>			-	<b>—</b>
	18						j .							
	22													
	12	5'- 6'-6"	D	14	16	22	dry		Gray-brown			2	18"	12'
	14 16						dense		SAND, little	e rine gr	avei	<b> </b>	-	├
	21	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del> -	<del>}</del>	<del> </del>	1					<del> </del>		-
	20	<u> </u>					wet							
	_6	10'-11'-6"	D	14	17	13	dense		,			3	18"	12"
	12			ļ				İ						<u> </u>
	14					ļ	1							
	20 22			-	ļ	ļ	wet						-	
	12	15'-16'-6"	D	12	14	15	medium				-	4	18'	12"
- 1	11						dense						,	
1	16					<u> </u>		18'-0'						
	21			ļ	<b></b>	ļ	4					<b> </b>		<u> </u>
		20'-21'-6"	D	12	10	15	,,		Gray-brown	fine SAN	ID trace	5	18''	11
	14	20 -21 -0	<u> </u>	14	10	1.			fine grave			<u> </u>		
	16			· ·			1			-,				
. [	18				<u> </u>								لنسا	
<b> </b>	30	25'-26'-6"			10	-	,,					6	18'	1 211
<b> </b>	·	23 -20 -0	D	10	10_	12		26'-6"				В.	10	12
l									Bottom of	Boring 26	·'-6''		- ;	
											i		i,	
								ì						
ł				ļ <u></u>						•				
ľ											•		1	
[														
				ļ		<u></u>								<del> </del>
ŀ													- *	
ŀ														
											,			
		SURFACE TO	25'			USED _				o 26'6"			-:	
	mpie Typ				Proportio			40 lb Wt. x 30	O"fall on 2"O.D. S sity   Cohesive C	ampler onsistency	Earth	SUMM	ARY	16"
	-	ored W=Washed bed Piston				0 to 10 9 10 to 20 9	% 0-	10 Loos	se 0-4	Soft 30	+ Hard Rock	Corine	, <u>29</u> 3	
		A=Auger V=Var	e Test	1		201035°	10-	30 Med. De 50 Dens		M/Stiff Stiff	Samp	les _	6	
UT	=Undistur	bed Thinwall		-   -		35 to 50	AT 1 30"	+ Very De		V-Stiff	HOLE	NO.	BH-	2

	- Fen	100 WA	TER S	TREET	E	AST P	ING GO	E, R. I.	t		DATE	вн	-3	
	PROJECT NA	AME Quonset NT TO	Pt.F	acil	ities	Plan	LOCATION	North	Kingstown, F	1.1.	LINE & STA.			
!	SAMPLES S	ENT TO					PR	(OJ. NO IR JOB NO.	72-030		SURF. ELEV.			
_							100				Dote	Ti	me	
Δ,		UND WATER OBSE after 1/4				3-AW	CASING BW	SAMPLE S/S	R CORE BAR.	START	7/2/76	_	<u> </u>	9.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7.M 7
] "	11	9.10.		,	Type		2½''		<del>11</del>	COMPLETE	3			
At		after	Ноц	ırs	Size I.D. Hommer		300#			BORING FOR	REMAN A. I	'A1	ello	<u> </u>
"					Hamme		24"	30"		INSPECTOR SOILS ENGR				
	LOCATIO	N OF BORING:											:	
	Casing	Sample	Туре		lows per		Moisture	Strata		NTIFICATION		5	AMP	LF
DEPTH	Blows	Depths	of		n Sample		Density	Change	Remarks inclusions soil etc. Rock-	de color, grado color, type, cor	ation, Type of addition, hard-			
Ö	foot	From- To	Sample	0-6	6-12	1 12-18	or Consist.	Elev.	ness, Drilling tir	ne, seams and	t etc.	No.		Rec.
	3	0'-1'-6"	D	3	4	6	dry		Brown TOPS			1	18'	12"
	4						100se	2'-0"	Little fir	e gravel,	FILL			
l	11			ļ	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	-						<u> </u>	<b>├</b>
l	16				<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	dry		Brown fine Trace fine		ome silt,		-	
	12	5'- 6'-6"	D	14	15	19	dense		Trace line	RIMAET		2	18'	11"
	16					ļ		7'-0"						
	41				<b></b>	ļ			]					
	56 78			<del>  .</del>	ļ	<u> </u>	moist		Gray fine	SAND, some	silt,	<b></b> -	-	
	10	10'-11'-6"	D	30	57	109	very		Some fine	gravel, 7	111	3	18'	11"
		@12!	DXX	150	0''		dense	12'-0"		<del>-                                    </del>	101 011		1	
·			·			ļ			Refusal-Bot	.ormoring	3 120.			
	ļ				<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	ĺ					ļi	<del>                                     </del>	
					1								:	
								İ	DXX open	end AW rod	300#-30"	·		
						ļ								
Ì					ļ						,			
					<del> </del>									
													,	
ŀ					ļ									L
													-	
													1.	
	<b>—</b>				<del> </del>	ļ			•				· ;	
					<b>-</b>									
													:	
										•				
							:					$\dashv$	$\dashv$	
												$\Box$	$\Box$	
	ļ		1				1					$\dashv$		
													$\dashv$	—-{
							_							
	GROUND	SURFACE TO	10	•		USED _	BW "C	ASING:	THEN S/S &	o.e.rod t	o refusal			_
_	ample Typ	-		·	Proportio		0-6		O"fall on 2"O.D. S isity   Cohesive C		Earth S	Boring		.
	:Dry C=Co P=Undisturb	red W=Washed bed Piston				0 to 109 10 to 209	% O-	IO Loos	se 0-4	Soft 30	+ Hard Rock	Coring	,	
		A=Auger V=Van	e Test	- 1		20to359	, 10	30 Med. Den:		M/Stiff Stiff	Samp		_3_	=
U	T=Undisturt	oed Thinwall			and 3	35 to 50°		Very De		V-Stiff	HOLE	NO.	BH-	3

Blows per foot   From - To   Sample   From   To   O-6   G-12   12-18   Consist   Change   Elev.   Remarks soil electrons   Consist   Change   Change   Consist   Change   Change   Consist   Change   Change   Consist   Change   Change   Change   Consist   Change   C	<b>(1)</b>	OFFSET SURF. ELEV.			+
Rods - AW					ļ
S'	BAR.	<u>Date</u>	_	me	
Casing   Gample   Type   Blows per 6'   O-6   G-12   12-18   Consist   Con	START COMPLETE	7/6/76 7/6/76		·····	+
Standard   Solid   S	TOTAL MO	e ———			İ
Cosing Blows Depths   From - To   Sample   Somple   From - To   Somple   From - To   Somple   From - To   Somple   Somple   Consist.   Strata   Change   Elev.   Brown   Some file   Som	BORING FOR	REMAN A.D'	Ale	110	+
Casing   Sample   Depths   From - To   Sample   From   To   Sample   From   To   Consist.   Change   Elev.   Brown   Soil etc.   fees, Drill   Consist.   Elev.   Brown   Some first   Change   Elev.   Change   Elev.   Change   Elev.   Change   C	SOILS ENGR				Ì
Casing   Sample   Depths   From - To   Sample   From   To   Sample   From   To   Consist.   Change   Elev.   Brown   Soil etc.   fees, Drill   Consist.   Elev.   Brown   Some first   Change   Elev.   Change   Elev.   Change   Elev.   Change   C					Ī
Blows   Per   From - To   Sample   From   To   Consist   Change   Elev.   Soil etc.   feess, Drill	IDENTIFICATION		$\overline{\top}$	SAME	Ť
From- To   Sample   From To   Sample   From To   Sam	include color grade	ation, Type of		AMI	1
4	Rock-color, type, con ing time, seams and	d etc.	No.	Per	,[
100se   2'-0"   100se   2'-0"   100se   2'-0"   100se   100s	TOPSOIL & ve	egetation	1	18	₦
6					1
6 5'-6'-6" D 7 11 17 dense Some fit Trace at 15 20 29 wet medium dense 7 10'-11'-6" D 12 15 14 medium dense 12'-0" Some fit Trace at 15'-0" wet medium dense 12'-0" Some fit Trace at 15'-0" wet medium dense 12'-0" Some fit Trace at 15'-0" Some fit					
6 5'-6'-6" D 7 11 17  8		6117	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	4
R	ine to coars ine to medium		2	18	ł
15		n graver,	-	10	†
29					1
7 10'-11'-6" D 12 15 14 medium dense 12'-0"  5 6	,	0.433			1
8	ine to coars		-	18	+
5 6	ne gravel, tr	race silt	3	19	+
6			_	$\vdash$	+
S   15'-16'-6"   D   S   7   8	own fine to				1
13 - 16 - 6   D   5   7   6   17' - 0"	ittle silt, sand & fine				I
16	Sand & IInc	graver	4	18	7
21			1-	<del> </del>	$\dagger$
75					†
17 20'-21'6" D 7 12 13  19	ne SAND, litt	le silt,			1
28	ine gravel		5	18	7
30   wet   Little   25'-26'-6"   D   15   17   20   dense   26'-6"			┪	<u> </u>	+
32 wet dense 26'-6" D 15 17 20 dense 26'-6"	ne SAND & si			-	t
26'-6"	fine gravel,	, Till			1
			6	18	7
	of Boring 26	5'-6"	┇	$\vdash$	ł
		, ,			f
	•				1
					Į
	•		$\vdash$		╀
			$\vdash$	i—	+
					I
					I
					+
			$\vdash$		t
					t
GROUND SURFACE TO 25 USED BW "CASING: THEN S/S	to 26'6"				Ī
Proportions Used   140 lb Wt.x 30" fall on 2"   Cohesionless Density   Cohes	D.D. Sampler	1	SUMM Boring	IARY	

		Americ	an i	yrill	ing d	k Bot	ring Co	)., Inc.			SHEET1			1
		100 WA	TER S	TREET	_ E	AST P	ROVIDENC	2E, R. I.			DATE		-	
TO	Fent	on G.Keyes	Asso	с.		1	ADDRESS	Provide	ence, R. I.		HOLE NO			
PF	ROJECT N.	on G.Keyes	Pt.F	acil:	ities	Plan	LOCATION	North	Kingstown, R	.I.	LINE & STA.			
RE	PORT SE	NT TO BOO	ve	····			PF	ROJ. NO	72-030		OFFSET SURF. ELEV.			
SA	IMPLES S	ENT TO					OL	JR JOB NO.	72 030					
	GRO	UND WATER OBSE	RVATIO	ONS	Rods-	AW	CASING	,SAMPLEI	R CORE BAR.	]	Date 7 (2 (7)		me ;	
AI_	5'	after 1/	4_ Hou	rs	Туре	****	BW	S/S		START	7/2/76 7/2/76		<del>:</del>	_ p.m
1	hole o	pen			Size I.D.		23"	1-3/8	<del></del>				•	
At -		after	Hou	ırs	Hammer		300#	140#	BIT	BORING FOR	EMAN A.D	Ale	6 T T.C	<u>)                                    </u>
<u> </u>					Hammer	r Fall	24"	30''		SOILS ENGR				
l	OCATIO	N OF BORING												
-	Casing	Sample	Туре	В	lows per	6"	Moisture	Strata	SOIL IDEN	TIFICATION			AMP	F
ЬТН	Blows	Depths	of	F	n Sample		Density	Change	Remarks inclu-					<u> </u>
DEI	per foot	From - To	Sample	<u>Fron</u> 0-6	6-12	To   12-18	or Consist.	Elev.	ness, Drilling tir			No.	Pen	Rec
	6	0'-1'-6"	D	1	2	4	dry		Brown TOPS	OIL, trace	fine	1	18'	12"
	7	•					loose	2'-0"	sand				,	
	11		<b> </b>	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	1		1			-	-	-
	14 19	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		$t^-$	moist		}				1	<del>                                     </del>
	12	5'- 6'-6"	D	14	15	18	dense		Brown fine	SAND, tra	ce silt	2	18'	11'
	17			<u> </u>			]						-	
	20			<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>		8'-0"		<del></del>		-		-
	<u>24</u> 21			<b></b>			moist		Gray very	fine SAND	,little	<u> </u>	;	
	17	10'-11'-6"	D	7	14	23	dense		silt			3	18'	12'
	19		<b> </b>	<b> </b>	ļ	ļ		12'-0"			<del></del>		,	<u> </u>
	<u>44</u> 36			<del> </del>	<del> </del>		-		Comments of the		CAMD .	<del> </del>	:	_
	39						moist		Gray fine Somesilt,s					
		15'-16'	ם		142		very	16'-0"	T111			4	12'	7'
		@16	DXX	180	<u> 10"                                   </u>	<u> </u>	dense		Refusa1-Bo	t.ofBorin	g 16'-0"		-	├
				-								<del> </del>	-	-
			ļ	<u> </u>	ļ							<u> </u>	:	
	-		<u> </u>	-				D)	OX open end.	AW rod 300	0 <b>#</b> -30''	<del>                                     </del>	-	_
						· · · ·			·					
										•			:	
			`	<b> </b>	ļ			·				<u> </u>	,	
													7	
							,						- 1	-
					<u> </u>				}					
								ļ	<u> </u>					
									·				9	
													,	
Į							٠							ļ
														<del> </del>
1	GROUND	SURFACE TO	1	5.		USED _	BW "	CASING:	THEN S/S &	o.e.rod t	o 16'6"	اـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	;	
Sa	mple Typ	<u>e</u>			Proportio	ons Use	d   1	40 lb Wt. x 30	O"fall on 2"O.D. 5	Sampler		SUMM	IARY	
	•	or <mark>ed W=</mark> Washed bed Piston				0 to 10%	° 1	ionless Den -10 Loos	se Cohesive (		Earth Hard Rock	Boring Coring		<u> </u>
		A=Auger V=Var	ne Test	- 1		201035°	() 10-	30 Med. De 50 Dens	ense 4-8	M/Stiff	Samp	les _	_4	
		bed Thinwall		- 1		35 to 50	% 50	+ Very De	inse   15-30	Stiff V-Stiff	HOLE	NO.	BH-	-5
		S - EAST PROV.				7.	7 <b>–</b> 10	*					•	

•		Americ	an I	Drill	ing 8	& Boi	ring Co	., Inc.	. !		SHEET	1	ÖF.	1
		100 WA				AST PI	ROVIDENC	E, R. I.			DATE	Bn-		
· T	o Fent	on G. Keyes	Asso	c.	101	<del></del>	ADDRESS	Provid	ence, R. I.		HOLE NO			
P	ROJECT N	AME <b>Quonset</b> NT TO ab	PT.F							•	OFFSET		3	
S	AMPLES S	ENT TO	11					OJ. NO R JOB NO.			SURF. ELEV.		4	
_											Date	Tin	né	
1		OUND WATER OBSE			Rods	-AW	CASING	. SAMPLE	R CORE BAR.	START	7/6/76		-:	g.m p.m.
At.	9'	after	Hou	ırs	Type		BW	s/s	_	COMPLETE	7/6/76			ja.m.
				i	Size I.D		2111	1-3/8		TOTAL HRS	EMAN <u>A.D'</u>	Alel	Įo.	_
At.		ofter	Ho	Jrs	Homme Homme		300# 24"	<u>140</u> 30"		INSPECTOR				
一	LOCATIO	N OF BORING:			Humme	TTUII				SOILS ENGR			;	
	Casing	Sample	Туре	T B	lows per	6"	Moisture	l l	SOU IDEN	ITIFICATION		T T		===
DEPTH	Blows	Depths	of		n Sampl		Density	Strata Change	Remarks include	de color, grada	tion, Type of	SA	AMPL	_E 
ᆸ	per foot	From - To	Sample	Fron 0-6	6-12	<u>To</u>   1   12-  8	or Consist.	Elev.	soil etc. Rock-oness, Drilling tir			No.	Pen	Rec.
	3	0'-1'-6"	D	1	3	3	dry		Brown TOPS	OIL, some	fine sand	,1	18'	11"
	4						loose		and gravel			$\Box$		
	5	<b></b>		<del> </del>		<del> </del>	1	4'-0"		•		<b> </b>		
]	12			<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	dry			0.4375		$\vdash$	7	
	6	5'- 6'-6"	D	11	12	10	medium	ļ	Brown fine Trace sea			2	18'	10"
	7						dense	8'-0"	,	5116119,11			$\stackrel{\cdot}{\longrightarrow}$	
	8				<u> </u>			0 -0					+	
	9						wet				:			
	4	10'-11'-6"	D	3	3	5	loose		Gray-brown	fine SAN	D, some	3	18	10
	5			<del> </del>	-		1		peat			┝─┼		
	4				<b> </b> -	<del> </del>		14'-0					7	
	4						wet		Brown fine	to mediu	m SAND.			
	13 20	15'-16'-6"	D	8	8	13_	medium		Little fin			4	18*	12"
	24				<b></b>	ļ	dense		silt			-		
	24												:	
	25						11	20'-0'					,	- 311
	17 21	20'-21'6"	D	8	12	13	,,		Rusty-brow	n fine Si	AND &silt	-5	18	12"
	20												\$	$\exists$
	20							24'-6'	Refusal			$\Box$	$\Box$	
		24'-24'6"	DXX	150	<del> </del>				Bottom of	Boring 24	1'-6"	<del></del> +	6"	0''
												$\neg \uparrow$	;	$\neg$
										•	,		ž.	$\Box$
						-							i i	
								town			•	_	+	
								· DAA	open end AW	rod 300#/	301			コ
											}		$\frac{i}{j}$	$\dashv$
						<b></b>					Ī		<del>;</del>	$\neg$
							[					$\Box$	к Z	$\Box$
													#	_
											<u> </u>	-	7	$\dashv$
	1											$\Box$	1	
		SURFACE TO	24			USED _			THEN o.e.ro				<u>.</u>	1
_	mple Typ Dry C=Co	e r <b>ed W</b> =Washed			,	ons Used OtolO%	1 ~		O"fall on 2"O.D. S sity   Cohesive C	onsistency	Earth	<u>SUMMA</u> Boring	<u> 24 '</u>	6"
UP	= Undisturb	ed Piston	_		ittle	1010209	0-1	O Loos 30 Med. De		Soft 30 + M/Stiff	- Hard Rock (	Coring	5	]
		A=Auger V=Von- bed Thinwall	e T <b>es</b> t	- 1		20to35% 35to50°	°C 30-€	50° Dens	se 8-15	Stiff	HOLE		H-6	$\exists$
		EAST PROY,		1			%; 50,± <b>/-11</b>	- Very De	nse   15-30	V-DIIIT	111000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	J	ı

FEB 1 1978

Cost Estimates VI

## COST ESTIMATES

## Summary

The cost of developing Quonset Point Technical Park was determined based on the present conditions and the assigned usage of the land areas.

Quonset/Davisville was divided into 26 separate land areas. These areas are described in the text for each development scenario. Included in each land area is the cost of demolition, utilities to service the area, site preparation and site improvements.

Based on this criteria, a cost per square foot was determined and assigned each land area.

The costs of utility improvements on the main roads servicing Quonset/Davisville was estimated separately and is reflected herein.

# DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO I

Land Area No.	Acreage	Site Development Cost
1 2 3 4	100 12	\$2,241,389 287,496
3	85	2,221,560
	30	653,400
5	120	2,688,600
6	8000 L.F. Bulkhead	•
	and pier area	18,386,429*
7	225	5,439,050
8	120	Navy retained land
9	209	5,007,222
10	49	1,463,664
11	21	515,618
12	235	Navy retained land
13	75	1,782,400
14	16	479,888
15	9	218,922
16	68	2,057,744
17	7	171,706
18	66	1,841,376
19	7	177,706
20	16	425,328
21	40	1,098,000
22	5	165,200
23	5	168,100
24	8	271,464
25	14	359,620
26	22	596,376
	TOTAL COST	\$48,718,758

<sup>\*</sup>From Ocean Port Development Costs

# DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO II

LAND AREA NO.	ACREAGE	SITE DEVELOPMENT COST
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	225 120	\$ 2,241,389 287,496 2,221,560 653,400 2,688,600 bulkhead 15,201,519* 5,439,050 Navy Retained Land
9 10	209 <b>~49</b>	5,007,222 1,463,664
11	21	515,618
12	235	Navy Retained Land
13	75	1,945,750
14	16	479,888
15	9	218,922
16	68	2,057,744
17	7	171,706
18	66	1,841,376
19	<b>7</b> .	177,706
20	16	425,328
21	40	1,185,120
22	. 5	176,090
23	5 5 8	178,990
24	. 8	271,464
25	14	390,112
26	22	<u>596,376</u>
	TOTAL COST	45,836,090

<sup>\*</sup>From Ocean Port Development Costs.

# DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO III

Land Area No.	Acreage .	Site Development Cost
1 2 3 4	100 12 85 30	\$2,241,389 287,496 2,221,560 653,400
5 6 7 8	120 225 120	2,688,600 5,439,050
9 10 11	209 49 21	Navy retained land 5,007,222 1,463,664 515,618
12 13 14 15	235 75 16 9	Navy retained land 1,945,750 479,888 218,922
16 17 18	68 7 66	2,057,744 171,706 1,841,376
19 20 21 22	7 16 40	177,706 425,328 1,185,120 176,090
23 24 25	5 5 8 14	178,990 271,464 390,112
26	22 TOTAL (	596,376 ————————————————————————————————————

## PRIMARY ACCESS CORRIDOR COSTS

R.O.W. Cost of \$1.5 Million

# Basic Cost Assumptions

Mainline Roadways	@	\$110 / L.F.
Collector Distributor Roadway	s @	\$ 40 / L.F.
Ramps	@	\$ 50 / L.F.
Turning Roadways	@	\$140 / L.F.
Structures	@	\$ 45 / S.F.
Misc. Items & Utilities	@	15% of Total Cost

## ESTIMATE OF PRIMARY ACCESS ON NEW LOCATION

Mainline Roadway	2,000  L.F. @ \$110/L.F. = \$2,200,000.
Collector Distributor Re	oadways
	10,000 L.F. @ \$ 40/L.F. \$ 400,000.
Ramps	3,500 L.F. @ \$ 50/L.F. \$ 175,000.
Route 4 Turning Roadways	5,000 L.F. @ \$ 40/L.F. \$ 200,000.
Davisville Connection	5,000 L.F. @ \$ 40/L.F. \$ 200,000.
Structures - 18 more or	less for a total area of 99,000 S.F. @
	\$ 45/S.F. \$4,455,000
	SUBTOTAL = $$7,630,000$ .
	15% Misc. Items = \$1,144,500.
•	SUBTOTAL \$8,774,500.

TOTAL

\$10,000,000.

## RAILROAD SPURS COSTS

COASTAL ZONE

## SPUR TRACK I

	*Length of Rail = 7,0	00' @ \$60/FT	\$420,000.
•	Turnouts =	2 @ \$15,000	\$ 30,000.
	Grade Crossings =	4 @ \$7,000	\$ 28,000.
		SUBTOTAL	\$478,000.
SPUR TRAC	CK II		
	Length of Rail = 3,400	0' @ \$60/FT	\$204,000.
	Turnouts = (	o ·	
	Grade Crossings =	1 @ \$7,000	\$ 7,000.
		SUBTOTAL	\$211,000
SPUR TRAC	K III		
	Length of Rail = 4,200	' @ \$60/FT	\$256,000.
	Turnouts = 1	@ \$15,000	\$ 15,000
		SUBTOTAL	\$267,000.

\*Cost per linear foot of track includes ballast, ties & rail

TOTAL

\$956,000.

## OCEAN PORT DEVELOPMENT COSTS

## DREDGING NEW CHANNEL AT PIERS

1. Material Useable For Backfill At Bulkhead & Pier Construction

1,020,194 CU. YD. @ \$1.75 \$1,785,340.

2. Excess Material (Offsite Disposal)

1,831,454 CU. YD. @ \$2.75 \$5,036,499

SUBTOTAL

\$6,821,839

# BULKHEAD CONSTRUCTION

Cellular Steel Sheet Pile Construction 4000 L.F \$8,379,680

## CONSTRUCTION OF PIERS

Steel Sheet Piling & Conc Deck 4000 L.F \$3,184,910.

TOTAL

\$18,386,429.

# Sanitary Costs

# Davisville Piers and Navy Retained Area

12" Ductile Iron Pip	e 2500 LF @ \$35.00	\$ 87,500.
18" Sewer	3000 LF @ \$42.00	\$125,000.
24" Sewer	7,500 LF @ \$50.00	\$375,000.
Broadway Pumping Sta	tion L.S.	\$250,000.
Subtotal Continge	including 20%	\$1,005,000.

## Quonset Pt Area

	•				
14" Ductile	Iron Pipe 2	2600 LF @	\$40.00	=	\$104,000.
	station to be	ì			
located at plant site	treatment	L.S.		•	\$250,000.
	*Subtotal including		-		
	20% continge	ncy			\$424,800.

TOTAL

\$1,429,800.

<sup>\*</sup>Based on assumption that the existing 14" FM will be used and extended to the proposed Wastewater Treatment Plant.

## STORM DRAINAGE COSTS

## Major Drainage Outlets

Flightpath Site and Davisville Piers

14800 LF @ \$125/LF \$1,850,000.

Personnel Support Area

1200 LF @ \$90/Lf \$ 108,000.

Roger Williams Way

3800 LF @ \$112.50/LF \$ 455,000.

Kiefer Park

1500 LF @ \$100/LF \$ 150,000.

Revenue Producting Area

3000 LF @ \$100/LF \$ 300,000.

## Costs Summary

*Development	Scenario I	\$63,967,058
*Development	Scenario II	\$61,084,890
*Development	Scenario III	\$45,616,371

TOTAL

\$2,863,000.

<sup>\*</sup>This total represents the costs of the primary access corridor, railroad spurs, ocean port development, sanitary system and storm drainage. It does not represent the costs of site acquisition.

FED A 1978

CHARLES THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY Appendix VII

